arXiv:0903.0892v1 [math.RA] 5 Mar 2009

Composition-Diamond lemma for associative n-conformal algebras*

L. A. Bokut†
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences
Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
bokut@math.nsc.ru

Yuqun Chen
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
yqchen@scnu.edu.cn

Guangliang Zhang
Nanhai College, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
zgl541@tom.com

Abstract: In this paper, we study the concept of associative n-conformal algebra over a field of characteristic 0 and establish Composition-Diamond lemma for a free associative n-conformal algebra. As an application, we construct Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Lie n-conformal algebras presented by generators and defining relations.

Key words: Gröbner-Shirshov basis, free algebra, conformal algebra.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 17B69, 16S15, 13P10

1 Introduction

Gröbner and Gröbner–Shirshov bases theories were invented independently by A.I. Shirshov [60] for Lie algebras and H. Hironaka [32] and B. Buchberger [26, 27] for associative-commutative algebras.

---

*Supported by the NNSF of China (No.10771077) and the NSF of Guangdong Province (No.06025062).

†Supported by RFBR 01-09-00157, LSS–344.2008.1 and SB RAS Integration grant No. 2009.97 (Russia).

Shirshov's paper [60] based on his papers [59] (Gröbner–Shirshov bases theory for (anti) commutative algebras, the reduction algorithm for (anti-) commutative algebras) and [57] (Lyndon–Shirshov words (these words were defined some earlier [48], but incidentally that was unknown for 25 years in Russia and these words were called Shirshov's regular words, see, for example, [2, 3, 4, 11, 61, 49], see also [29]), Lyndon–Shirshov basis of a free Lie algebra (see also [28]). The latter Shirshov's papers [57, 59] were based on his Thesis [54], A.G. Kurosh (adv), published in three papers [55] (on free Lie algebras: K_d-Lemma (Lazard–Shirshov elimination process), the subalgebra theorem (Shirshov–Witt theorem)), [56] (on free (anti-) commutative algebras: linear bases, the subalgebra theorems), [58] (on free Lie algebras: a series of bases with well-ordering of basic Lie words such that $[w] = [[u][v]] > [v]$, see also [62]; the series is called now Hall sets [50] or Hall–Shirshov bases). Shirshov's Thesis, in turn, was in line with a Kurosh's paper [47] (on free non-associative algebras: the subalgebra theorem). Also Shirshov's paper [59] was in a sense of a continuation of a paper by A.I. Zhukov [63], a student of Kurosh (on free non-commutative algebras: decidability of the word problem for non-associative algebras). The difference with the Zhukov's approach was that Zhukov did not use any linear ordering of non-associative words, but just the partial deg-ordering to compere two words by the degree (length).

It would be not a big exaggeration to say that Shirshov's paper [60] was between line of the Kurosh's program of study free algebras of different classes of non-associative algebras.

Shirshov's paper [60] contained implicitly the Gröbner–Shirshov bases theory for associative algebras too because he constantly used that any Lie polynomial is at the same time a non-commutative polynomial. For example, the maximal term of a Lie polynomial is defined as its maximal word as a non-commutative polynomial, definition of a Lie composition (Lie S-polynomial) of two Lie polynomials begins with the definition of their composition as non-commutative polynomials and follows by putting some special Lie brackets on it, and so on. The main Composition (-Diamond) Lemma for associative polynomials is actually proved in the paper and we need only to "forget" about Lie brackets in the proof of this lemma for Lie polynomials ([60] Lemma 3). Explicitly Composition (-Diamond) Lemma was formulated much later in papers L.A. Bokut [12] and G. Bergman [8].

We formulate Shirshov's Composition-Diamond Lemma for associative algebras following his paper [60] with the only change of "Lie polynomials" to "non-commutative polynomials". Let $k\langle X\rangle$ be a free associative algebra over a field k on a set X such that the free monoid X^* is well-ordered. For a polynomial f, by $\overline{f}$, Shirshov [60] denotes the maximal word of f. Let f, g are two monic polynomials (may be equal), $w \in X^*$ such that $w = acb$, $\overline{f} = ac$, $\overline{g} = cd$, where a, b, c are words and c is not empty. Then $(f, g)_w = fb - ag$ is called an (associative) composition of f, g (it is the original Shirshov's notation, now we use $(f, g)_w$), clearly $\overline{fb - ag} < w$. For Lie polynomials f, g, Shirshov puts some special brackets $[fb] - [ag]$ such that $\overline{[fb] - [ag]} < w$ too. Let S be a reduced set in $k\langle X\rangle$ and S^* is a reduced set that is obtained from S by (transfinite) induction applying the following elementary operation: to join to S a composition of two elements of S and to apply the reduction algorithm to the result set (until one will get a reduced set with only trivial compositions, after the reduction). By nowadays terminology, S^* is a Gröbner–Shirshov basis of the ideal generated by S, and that process of adding compositions is the Shirshov's algorithm. He calls S a *stable* set if, at each step, the degree of the composition $(f, g)_w$, after the reduction, is bigger than degrees of f, g (or $(f, g)_w$

is zero after the reduction). Of course, if S is a finite (or recursive) stable set, then S^* is a recursive set, and from the next lemma the word problem is solvable in the algebra with defining relations S. Now suppose that S is a Gröbner–Shirshov basis in the sense that S is a reduced set and any composition of elements of S is trivial after the reduction (S is closed under compositions). The S^* is fulfilled this condition (simply because any composition, after the reduction, is in S^*). Hence S is a stable set in the sense of Shirshov. Then Lemma 3 in [60] has the following form.

Shirshov's Composition-Diamond Lemma for associative algebras. Let $S \subset k\langle X\rangle$ is a Gröbner–Shirshov basis of the ideal $Id(S)$. Let $f \in Id(S)$. Then $\overline{f} = a\overline{s}b$ for some $s \in S, a, b \in X^*$ (hence S-irreducible words $Irr(S)$, that does not contain subwords which are maximal words of polynomials from S, is a k-basis of the algebra $k\langle X|S\rangle$ with defining relations S).

It is easy to see that converse is also true.

Last years there were quite a few results on Gröbner–Shirshov bases for semi-simple Lie (super) algebras, irreducible modules, Kac–Moody algebras, Coxeter groups, braid groups, quantum groups, conformal algebras, free inverse semigroups, Kurosh's Ω-algebras, Loday's dialgebras, Leibniz algebras, Rota-Baxter algebras, Vinberg-Milnor's right-symmetric algebras, and so on, see, for example, papers [9, 10, 13, 20, 21, 24, 34, 35, 36, 37], surveys [14, 17, 19, 22, 23]. Actually, conformal algebras, dialgebras, Rota-Baxter algebras are examples of Ω-algebras. For non-associative Ω-algebras, Composition-Diamond Lemma was proved in [30]. The case of associative Ω-algebras (associative algebras with any set Ω of multi-linear operations) was treated in [16] with an application to free (λ-) Rota-Baxter algebras (the latter is associative algebras with linear operation $P(x)$ and the identity $P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) + \lambda P(xy)$, where λ is a fix element of a ground field, see, for example, [31]). Composition-Diamond Lemma for dialgebras [15] has an application to the PBW theorem for universal enveloping dialgebras of Leibniz algebras (see [1]).

This paper is a continuation of the paper [18] on Gröbner–Shirshov bases for conformal algebras. V. Kac [33] defined conformal algebras under the influence of Vertex algebras (Belavin-Polyakov-Zamolodchikov [7], Borcherds [25]) and the Operator Product Expansion in mathematical physics. Structure theory of associative and Lie conformal algebras were studied in [5, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46]. M. Roitman [51, 52, 53] instigated free associative and Lie conformal algebras. In particular, he found a linear basis of a free associative conformal algebra and proved that an analog of PBW theorem is not valid for Lie conformal algebras.

Composition-Diamond Lemma for associative conformal algebras [18] has some difference from that lemma for associative and Lie algebras (as well as from Buchberger Theorem for commutative algebras). First of all, there are compositions of left and right multiplications, just opposite to the classical cases. Secondly, the condition on a set of relations S, that S is closed under compositions, is not equivalent to the condition that $Irr(S)$ is a basis of the algebra with defining relations S. Later on, it became clear that the same situation with both conditions is valid for dialgebras and right-symmetric algebras, as well as for n-conformal algebras.

The class of n-conformal algebras is a particular example of the class of (H-) pseudoalgebras in the sense of Bakalov–D'Andrea–Kac [5] and Beilinson–Drinfeld [6] for the case the Hopf algebra H is a polynomial algebra on n variables.

In this paper, we state Composition-Diamond Lemma for associative n-conformal algebras. A proof follows from the proof of Composition-Diamond Lemma for associative conformal algebras in [18] almost word by word.

Definition 1.1 *Let k be a field with characteristic 0 and C a vector space over k. Let Z_+ be the non-negative integer number, Z the integer ring and n a positive integer number. We associate to each $(m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in Z_+^n$ a bilinear product on C, denoted by $\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle$ where $\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in Z_+^n$. Let $D_i : C \to C$ be linear mappings such that $D_iD_j = D_jD_i$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$. Then C is an n-conformal algebra with derivations $D = \{D_1, \ldots, D_n\}$ if the following axioms are satisfied:*

(i) If $a, b \in C$, then there is an $\overrightarrow{N}(a, b) \in Z_+^n$ such that $a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle b = 0$ if $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b)$, where for any $\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_n)$, $\overrightarrow{l} = (l_1, \cdots, l_n) \in Z_+^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\overrightarrow{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) \prec \overrightarrow{l} = (l_1, \cdots, l_n) \Leftrightarrow m_i \le l_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n \\ &\text{and there exists} \quad i_0, \ 1 \le i_0 \le n \quad \text{such that} \quad m_{i_0} < l_{i_0}. \end{aligned}$$

$\overrightarrow{N} : C \times C \to Z_+^n$ is called the locality function.

(ii) For any $a, b \in C$, $\overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$, $D_i(a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle b) = D_ia\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle b + a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_ib$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

(iii) For any $a, b \in C$, $\overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$, $D_ia\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle b = -m_ia\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i} \rangle b$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\overrightarrow{e_i} = (\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{i-1}, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

For $\overrightarrow{m}$, $\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n$, put $(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} = (-1)^{s_1 + \cdots + s_n}$ and $\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} = \binom{m_1}{s_1} \cdots \binom{m_n}{s_n}$.

An n-conformal algebra C is associative if in addition the associativity condition holds.

Associativity Condition: For any $a, b, c \in C$ and $\overrightarrow{m}, \overrightarrow{m'} \in Z_+^n$,

$$(a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle c = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} \rangle c),$$

or equivalently a *right* analogy

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle c) = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} (a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} \rangle c.$$

Let $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ be an associative n-conformal algebra generated by B with the locality function $\overrightarrow{N} : B \times B \to Z_+^n$. Then $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ is called the free associative n-conformal algebra with the data $(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ if for any associative n-conformal algebra C' with derivations $D = \{D_1, \ldots, D_n\}$ and any map $\varepsilon : B \to C'$ such that $\varepsilon(a)\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle \varepsilon(b) = 0$ if $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b)$, there exists a unique homomorphism $f : C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n) \to C'$ such that $f(b) = \varepsilon(b)$ for all $b \in B$.

2 Free associative n-conformal algebra

Definition 2.1 *Let B be a non-empty set and $D = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$. Denote by $D^\omega(B) = \{D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b \mid b \in B, i_1, \cdots, i_n \in Z_+\}$. Then, we define the lengths of words (non-associative) on $D^\omega(B)$ inductively:*

- *(i) any $D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b,\ b \in B,\ i_1, \cdots, i_n \in Z_+$ is a word of length 1,*
- *(ii) if (u) and (v) are two words of lengths k and l respectively, then $((u)\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle (v))$ is a word of length $k + l$.*

For any word u on $D^\omega(B)$, we denote its length by $|u|$.

A word u is *right normed* if it has the form

$$[u] = x_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)} \rangle (x_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)} \rangle \cdots (x_k \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(t)} \rangle x_{t+1}) \cdots) \tag{1}$$

where $x_i \in D^\omega(B), \overrightarrow{m}^{(j)} \in Z_+^n, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t+1, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$.

We fix a locality function $\overrightarrow{N} :\ B \times B \to Z_+^n$.

Definition 2.2 *A right normed word $[u]$ of the form (1) is called a normal word if*

$$x_j \in B,\ \overrightarrow{m}^{(j)} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a_j, a_{j+1}) \ \ for\ \ j = 1, \dots, t, \ \ and\ \ x_{t+1} = D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b \in D^\omega(B).$$

If this is the case, then we denote the index of $[u]$ by $ind(u) = (i_1, \cdots, i_n)$.

Here and after, $[u]$ would mean the right normed bracketing

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)} \rangle x_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)} \rangle \cdots x_t \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(t)} \rangle x_{t+1}] \\ = \ & x_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)} \rangle (x_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)} \rangle \cdots (x_t \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(t)} \rangle x_{t+1}) \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

In the following Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we assume that C_1 is an associative n-conformal algebra generated by all non-associative words on $D^\omega(B)$.

We denote by (u) a nonassociative word on u, i.e., (u) is some bracketing of u.

Lemma 2.3 *Any word (u) on $D^\omega(B)$ is a linear combination of right normed words of the same length $|u|$.*

Proof. We use induction on $|u|$. The result holds trivially for $|u| = 1$. Let $|u| > 1$. We assume that

$$(u) = [v] \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [w] \tag{2}$$

where $[v]$ and $[w]$ are right normed words. If $|v| = 1$, then (2) is right normed, and we are done. Hence, let $|v| > 1$. Then

$$[v] = x \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [v_1],\ \ for\ some\ x \in D^\omega(B),$$

and so

$$([v] \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [w]) = (x \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [v_1]) \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [w] = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} x \langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle ([v_1] \langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s} \rangle [w]).$$

Now, the result follows the induction. □

Lemma 2.4 *Any word (u) on $D^{\omega}(B)$ is a linear combination of normal words of the same length $|u|$.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 2.3, we may assume that (u) is right normed, i.e., $(u) = [u]$. We proceed by induction on $|u|$. If $|u| = 2$, then

$$[u] = D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_1^{j_1} \cdots D_n^{j_n} a_2 = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{i} \rangle D_1^{j_1} \cdots D_n^{j_n} a_2$$

where $\alpha_s = (-1)^{i_s}[m_s]^{i_s}$, $[m_s]^{i_s} = m_s(m_s - 1) \cdots (m_s - i_s + 1), 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n$ and the right side is zero if $m_t - i_t < 0$ for some t. Thus, in this case, it suffices to deal with a word

$$[u] = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_1^{j_1} \cdots D_n^{j_n} a_2,\ a_1, a_2 \in B,\ j_1, \cdots, j_n \in Z_+,\ \overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n \tag{3}$$

If $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N} = \overrightarrow{N}(a_1, a_2)$, then (3) is normal, and we are done. We assume that $\overrightarrow{N}(a_1, a_2) = (l_1, \cdots, l_n)$ and $m_t \geqslant l_t$ for some t. If $j_t = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [u] = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_1^{j_1} \cdots D_{t-1}^{j_{t-1}} D_{t+1}^{j_{t+1}} \cdots D_n^{j_n} a_2 \\ = & \ \beta \sum_{p_1, \cdots, p_{t-1}, p_{t+1}, \cdots, p_n \in Z_+} \binom{m_1}{p_1} \cdots \binom{m_n}{p_n} D_1^{j_1 - p_1} \cdots D_n^{j_n - p_n} (a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - p_1 \overrightarrow{e_1} \cdots - p_n \overrightarrow{e_n} \rangle a_2) \\ = & \ 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = [j_1]^{p_1} \cdots [j_{t-1}]^{p_{t-1}} [j_{t+1}]^{p_{t+1}} \cdots [j_n]^{p_n}$.
If $j_t > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_t^{j_t} (a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_1^{j_1} \cdots D_{t-1}^{j_{t-1}} D_{t+1}^{j_{t+1}} \cdots D_n^{j_n} a_2) \\ &= [u] + \sum_{p > 0} (\gamma_p a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - p \overrightarrow{e_t} \rangle D_t^{j_t - p} D_1^{j_1} \cdots D_{t-1}^{j_{t-1}} D_{t+1}^{j_{t+1}} \cdots D_n^{j_n} a_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_p = (-1)^p [m_t]^p \binom{j_t}{p}$. By induction on j_t we conclude the case for $|u| = 2$.

Now, let $|u| \geqslant 3$ and suppose $[u]$ has the form (1). Using axioms of associative n-conformal algebra and induction, we may assume that

$$[u] = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [u_1]$$

where $[u_1] = a_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [u_2]$ is a normal word of length $|u| - 1$.

Now, if $\overrightarrow{m} < \overrightarrow{N} = \overrightarrow{N}(a_1, a_2)$, then $[u]$ is already normal. Otherwise

$$[u] = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle (a_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [u_2]) = - \sum_{\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n \setminus 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle (a_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} \rangle [u_2]).$$

By induction on $\overrightarrow{m}$, we can conclude that each summand in right hand side above is normal. $\square$

Remark. The proof of the Lemma 2.4 provides an algorithm for presenting any given word (u) as a linear combination of normal words. Here is the algorithm:

(i) If $|u| = 1$, then (u) is normal.

(ii) If $|u| = 2$, then $u = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b$ for some $a, b \in B, \overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$ and $i_1, \cdots, i_n \in Z_+$. If $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$, u is normal if $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$, or zero if $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$. Otherwise assume that $i_t > 0, 1 \leqslant t \leqslant n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b \\ &= D_t(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b) + m_t a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b. \end{aligned}$$

Now use induction to rewrite both terms as a linear combination of normal words.

(iii) Suppose $|u| \geqslant 3$. Then by using the arguments from the proof of Lemma 2.3, one can present (u) in the form

$$(u) = a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle(a_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle(v))$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in B$ and $\overrightarrow{m}, \overrightarrow{m}' \in Z_+^n$. If $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a_1, a_2)$, apply induction to rewrite $a_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle(v)$ as a linear combination of normal words of length $|u|-1$, and then multiply each term from the left side by $a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle$ to obtain the desired linear combinations. If $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a_1, a_2)$, then

$$(a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a_2)\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle(v) = 0$$

and so

$$a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle(a_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle(v)) = -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(a_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(v)).$$

Now, for $\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n\backslash 0$, $\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \prec \overrightarrow{m}$ and the induction hypothesis applies to each term $a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(a_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(v))$ to obtain linear combination of words of the form $a_1\langle\overrightarrow{l}\rangle(a_2\langle\overrightarrow{l}'\rangle(v))$ with $\overrightarrow{l} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a_1, a_2)$. Another treatment of these words finishes the algorithm.

Let C be a k-linear space spanned by normal words (as a basis). For normal words $[u]$ and $[v]$ and any $\overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$, the multiplication $[u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v]$ is defined using the algorithm. Also, define $D_i[u]$ by Leibniz rule and the rule

$$D_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[u] = -m_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[u], \ \textit{for}\ a \in B,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n.$$

Theorem 2.5 *$C = C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ is a free associative n-conformal algebra with the data $(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to check the axioms for associative n-conformal algebras.

(i) (Locality): $[u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v] = 0$ if $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(u,v)$. To see this, we use induction on $|u|$.

Assume first that $|u| = 1$ and $u = a \in B$. Now, we want to use a second induction on $|v|$. If $[v] = b \in B$, then $\overrightarrow{N}(u,v) = \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$ by the definition, and so $[u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v] = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle b = 0$ if $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(u,v)$. If $[v] = D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b$ where $i_t > 0$ for some t, $1 \leqslant t \leqslant n$, then by Remark, we have

$$a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b = D_t(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b) + m_t a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}b.$$

Now, put $\overrightarrow{N}(a, D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b) = \overrightarrow{N}(a, D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_t^{i_t - 1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b) + \overrightarrow{e_t}$. Then

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b = 0, \ for \ \overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b).$$

Let $|v| > 1$, and $v = b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [v_1]$. Take

$$\overrightarrow{N}(a, v) = \overrightarrow{N}(a, b) + \overrightarrow{N}(b, v_1) - \overrightarrow{m}'.$$

Then for $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, v)$, clearly $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b)$. Therefore, we have (by the multiplication algorithm)

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle b \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [v_1] = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}^1 \in Z_+^n \setminus 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{s}^1} a \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}^1 \rangle (b \langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}^1 \rangle [v_1]).$$

where $\overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}^1 \prec \overrightarrow{N}(b, v_1)$. This means that

$$\overrightarrow{s}^1 \prec \overrightarrow{N}(b, v_1) - \overrightarrow{m}' \quad and \quad \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}^1 \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b).$$

Hence by the multiplication algorithm again we have

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}^1, \overrightarrow{s}^2 \in Z_+^n \setminus 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{s}^1} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{s}^2} a \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}^1 - \overrightarrow{s}^2 \rangle (b \langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}^1 + \overrightarrow{s}^2 \rangle [v_1])$$

where

$$\overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}^1 + \overrightarrow{s}^2 \prec \overrightarrow{N}(b, v_1) \ and \ \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}^1 - \overrightarrow{s}^2 \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b).$$

Repeating the above argument $k = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i - m_i'$ times where $\overrightarrow{N}(b, v_1) = (t_1, , \cdots, t_n)$, we arrive at

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle b \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [v_1] = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}^1, \cdots, \overrightarrow{s}^k \in Z_+^n \setminus 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{s}^1} \cdots \alpha_{\overrightarrow{s}^k} a \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{i=1}^k \overrightarrow{s}^i \rangle (b \langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \Sigma_{i=1}^k \overrightarrow{s}^i \rangle [v_1]).$$

In this case, $\overrightarrow{m}' + \Sigma_{i=1}^k \overrightarrow{s}^i \not\prec N(b, v_1)$ and so the last expression is zero. By induction, we have $[u]\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [v] = 0$ if $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(u, v)$.

Next, we continue our argument on the assumption that $|u| > 1$. Thus, $[u] = a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [u_1]$ for $a \in B$. Then

$$(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [u_1]) \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [v] = a \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle ([u_1] \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [v]) + \sum_{\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n \setminus 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{s}} a \langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle ([u_1] \langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s} \rangle [v]).$$

Set $\overrightarrow{N}(u, v) = \overrightarrow{N}(u_1, v)$. Then $[u]\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [v] = 0$ if $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(u, v)$ and the locality holds.

(ii) The identity

$$D_i([u]\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [v]) = D_i[u] \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [v] + [u] \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_i[v], \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n. \tag{4}$$

Assume first $|u| = |v| = 1$. Then $[u] = a \in B$ and $[v] = D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} b$, $i_1, \cdots, i_n \in Z_+$, $b \in B$. The case when $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b)$ holds is true from the definition. So suppose that $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$. If $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$, then the left hand side of (4) is zero while the right hand side of (4) is

$$-m_i a \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i} \rangle b + a \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_i b$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_i b &= D_i(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle b) + m_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle b \ \ (by\ Remark) \\ &= m_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle b \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4) is true. So let $i_{l_1}, \cdots, i_{l_k} > 0, i_{l_{k+1}} = \cdots = i_{l_n} = 0, 1 < k \leqslant n$. We prove that if $\overrightarrow{m} \not< \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$ then

$$D_i(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b) = -m_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b + a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_i D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b \qquad (5)$$

Suppose $s_1, \cdots, s_k \in Z_+$. Let $\alpha = (-1)^{s_1+\cdots+s_k}, \beta = \binom{i_{l_1}}{s_1} \cdots \binom{i_{l_k}}{s_k}, \beta' = \binom{i_{l_1}}{s_1} \cdots \binom{i_{l_t}+1}{s_t} \cdots \binom{i_{l_k}}{s_k}$, $\gamma = [m_1]^{s_1} \cdots [m_k]^{s_k}$ where $[m_j]^{s_j} = m_j(m_j - 1) \cdots (m_j - s_j + 1), 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. Expanding the left hand side of (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D_i(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b \\ = \ & D_i(D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}}(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle b) - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \beta(D_{l_1}^{s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b)) \\ = \ & -D_i(\sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \alpha\gamma\beta(a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b)) \triangleq A. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = l_t \in \{l_1, \cdots, l_k\}$, by induction on $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \ = \ & \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \alpha\gamma\beta m_i(a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b)) \qquad (6) \\ & - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \alpha\gamma\beta(a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_i^{i_{l_t}+1-s_i} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b) \qquad (7) \end{aligned}$$

If $i \in \{l_{k+1}, \cdots, l_n\}$, by induction on $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \ = \ & \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \alpha\gamma\beta m_i(a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}} - e_i\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b)) \qquad (8) \\ & - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \alpha\gamma\beta(a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}}\rangle D_i D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b) \qquad (9) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the right hand side of (5) can be rewritten as

$$-m_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} b + a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_i D_{l_1}^{s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} b \triangleq B.$$

If $i = l_t \in \{l_1, \cdots, l_k\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} B \ = \ & -m_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} b + D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_i^{i_{l_t}+1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}}(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle b) \\ & - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \beta'(D_{l_1}^{s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_i^{i_{l_t}+1-s_t} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b)) \\ = \ & -m_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} b \\ & - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^k\backslash 0} \alpha\gamma\beta'(a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1} \cdots D_i^{i_{l_t}+1-s_t} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b) \end{aligned}$$

From (6) and (7), we see that

1) $m_i j a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b = -m_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b + m_i(j+1) a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b$;

2) for $s_i \geqslant 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{s_i}[m_i]^{s_i+1}\left(\binom{i_{l_t}}{s_i} + \binom{i_{l_t}}{s_i+1}\right) a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^{k} s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b \\
= \;& (-1)^{s_i}[m_i]^{s_i+1}\binom{i_{l_t}+1}{s_i+1} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^{k} s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $A = B$.

If $i \in \{l_{k+1}, \cdots, l_n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
B \;=\;& -m_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b + D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} D_i(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle b) \\
& - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k,s_i)\in Z_+^{k+1}\setminus 0} \beta\binom{1}{s_i}(D_{l_1}^{s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} D_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} D_i^{1-s_i} b) \\
=\;& -m_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b - D_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} b \\
& - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^{k}\setminus 0} \beta(D_{l_1}^{s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} D_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b) \\
& - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^{k}\setminus 0} \beta(D_{l_1}^{s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{s_k} a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} D_i b) \\
=\;& \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^{k}\setminus 0} \alpha\gamma\beta m_i(a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^{k} s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b)) \\
& - \sum_{(s_1,\cdots,s_k)\in Z_+^{k}\setminus 0} \alpha\gamma\beta(a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^{k} s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}}\rangle D_i D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}-s_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}-s_k} b).
\end{aligned}$$

From (8) and (9) it follows that $A = B$.

This completes the case of $|u| = |v| = 1$.

We proceed by induction on $|u| + |v| = l$ and assume that $l \geqslant 3$. Suppose that $|u| = 1$. Then $|v| \geqslant 2$, and so $[v] = b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[v_1]$ for some v_1. Thus we have to establish (4), namely,

$$D_i(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[v_1])) = D_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[v_1]) + a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_i(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[v_1]),\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n. \qquad (10)$$

If $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$, (10) follows immediately from the definition of derivation of normal words. Assume that $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$. By the definition of multiplication, we have

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[v_1]) = (a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[v_1] - \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\setminus 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1]).$$

Using induction on $\overrightarrow{m}$, the left hand side of (10) becomes

$$-\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\setminus 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}(D_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1]) - a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle D_i(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1])).$$

Now, using the multiplicity algorithm and induction on $|v|$, the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 \ \triangleq \ & \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} (m_i - s_i) a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle [v_1]) \\ & + \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} (m_i' + s_i) a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle [v_1]) \\ & - \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle D_i[v_1]). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (10) is expended to

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 \ & \triangleq \ D_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle [v_1]) + a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_i (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle [v_1]) \\ & = \ -m_i a\langle m - e_i\rangle (b\langle m'\rangle [v_1]) - m_i' a\langle m\rangle (b\langle m' - e_i\rangle [v_1]) + a\langle m\rangle (b\langle m'\rangle D_i[v_1]) \\ & = \ -m_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle [v_1]) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} m_i' a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle [v_1]) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle (b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle D_i[v_1]). \end{aligned}$$

It is now straightforward to check that A_0 and B_0 are coincide.

Hence (4) holds when $|u| = 1$.

Next, assume $|u| > 1$. Thus $[u] = a\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle [u_1]$, $\overrightarrow{m}' \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m}' \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b)$, b is the first letter of $[u_1]$. We shall make use of the property

$$D_i[u]\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle [v] = -m_i [u]\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle [v]$$

which will be shown in (11).

First of all, let's figure out all summands in (4). Suppose $s_1, \cdots, s_n \in Z_+$. Let $\beta = \binom{m_1'}{s_1} \cdots \binom{m_i'}{s_i+1} \cdots \binom{m_n'}{s_n}$. Using the multiplicity algorithm with induction on $|u|$ and $|u|+|v|$, we have

$$D_i((a\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle [u_1])\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle [v]) = D_i(\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle ([u_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle [v])) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \ & = \ -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}} (m_i' - s_i) a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle ([u_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle [v]), \\ A_2 \ & = \ -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}} (m_i + s_i) a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle ([u_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle [v]), \\ A_3 \ & = \ \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle ([u_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle D_i[v]). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} D_i[u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v] &= -m_i[u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v]\\ &= -m_i(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v]\\ &= -m_i\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}}a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v])\\ &\triangleq B_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_i[v] &= (a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_i[v]\\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}}a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle D_i[v])\\ &\triangleq B_2 \end{aligned}$$

Now, $A_3 = B_2$. Subtracting B_1 from A_2, we get

$$C = A_2 - B_1 = -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}}s_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v])$$

$$= \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\beta(s_i+1)a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v]).$$

Finally, we note that $C + A_1 = 0$ since $(s_i+1)\binom{m_i'}{s_i+1} = (m_i'-s_i)\binom{m_i'}{s_i}$.

(iii) The identity

$$D_i[u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v] = -m_i[u]\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v] \tag{11}$$

To show this identity, we use induction on $|u|$. If $|u| = 1$, then $u = D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}a$, and the result follows from the definition. So let $|u| > 1$, and write $[u] = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1]$. Suppose $s_1,\cdots,s_n \in Z_+$. Let $\beta = \binom{m_1'}{s_1}\cdots\binom{m_i'-1}{s_i}\cdots\binom{m_n'}{s_n}$, $\beta' = \binom{m_1'}{s_1}\cdots\binom{m_i'}{s_i+1}\cdots\binom{m_n'}{s_n}$.

Using the multiplicity algorithm with induction on $|u|$, the left hand side of (11) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &(D_i a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v] + (a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle D_i[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v]\\ =\ &-m_i'(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v] + (a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle D_i[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v]\\ =\ &\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}(-\beta m_i' a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v])\\ &+\binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}}a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle(D_i[u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v]))\\ \triangleq\ &A_1 + A_2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -m_i'\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\beta a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v]),\\ A_2 &= -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}}(m_i+s_i)a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v]). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (11) is equal to

$$B = -m_i(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1])\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v] = -m_i \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle([u_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v]).$$

Now, $A_1 + A_2 = B$. Indeed, subtracting B from A_2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C = A_2 - B &= -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}'}{\overrightarrow{s}} s_i a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle([u_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle[v]) \\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \beta'(s_i+1) a\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_i}\rangle([u_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v]) \end{aligned}$$

and $A_1 + C = 0$ as $(s_i+1)\binom{m_i'}{s_i+1} = m_i'\binom{m_i'-1}{s_i}$.

(iv) (Associativity condition): We have to show that

$$([u]\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v])\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} [u]\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle([v]\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w]) \tag{12}$$

Suppose $s_1, \cdots, s_n \in Z_+$. Let $\beta = \binom{m_1}{s_1}\cdots\binom{m_t-1}{s_t}\cdots\binom{m_n}{s_n}$, $\beta' = \binom{m_1}{s_1}\cdots\binom{m_t}{s_t+1}\cdots\binom{m_n}{s_n}$. We consider first some special cases.

Case 1. $u = a$ and $|v| = 1$. Then $v = D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b$. When $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ and $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$, (12) follows from the definition. When $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ and $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$, the left hand side of (12) is equal to 0, while the right hand side of (12) contains the summand

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w]) = (a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] - \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\setminus 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} [u]\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle([v]\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w]).$$

Hence the right hand side of (12) is 0 by induction on $\overrightarrow{m}$.

Suppose now that $i_t > 0$ for some t, $1 \leqslant t \leqslant n$. Then by multiplicity algorithm and induction on $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$, the left hand side of (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] \\ = \; & D_t(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] + m_t(a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] \\ = \; & -m_t'(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle[w] \\ & + m_t(a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b)\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] \\ \triangleq \; & A_1 + A_2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -m_t' \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle[w]), \\ A_2 &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \beta m_t a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle(D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w]). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle (D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w]) \\
= & -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} (m_t' + s_t) a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle (D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b\langle \overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle[w]) \\
\triangleq & \; B
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
B - A_1 & = -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} s_t a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle (D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle[w]) \\
& = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \beta'(s_k+1) a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle (D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n} b\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w])
\end{aligned}$$

which is the same as A_2 since $m_t\binom{m_t-1}{s_t} = (s_t+1)\binom{m_t}{s_t+1}$.

Case 2. $u = D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}a,\ i_t > 0$ for some $t,\ 1 \leqslant t \leqslant n$ and $|v| = 1$. Using induction on $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$ (with Case 1 providing the induction case), we see that the left hand side of (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_t u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v])\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] \\
= & -m_t(u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle[v])\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w] \\
= & -m_t \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \beta u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle([v]\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w])
\end{aligned}$$

where $u_1 = D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_t^{i_t-1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}a$.

The right hand side of (12), on the other hand, is equal to

$$-\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} (m_t - s_t) u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle([v]\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w]).$$

Because $m_t\binom{m_t-1}{s_t} = (m_t - s_t)\binom{m_t}{s_t}$, we see that (12) holds, and this settles the case for $|u| = 1$.

It follows from Case 1 and Case 2 that, in particular, (12) holds for $|u| = |v| = |w| = 1$. We will use induction on $|u| + |v| + |w|$, and so we assume $|u| + |v| + |w| > 3$. Also, to simplify notation, when we are dealing with a right normed bracketing expression, the brackets are simply dropped.

Case 3. $u = a$ and $|v| = 1$. In this case, we just repeat the argument of Case 1, and we are done.

Case 4. $u = a$ and $|v| > 1$. Then $v = b\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle[v_1]$ for some v_1. If $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b)$, then $[u]\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v] = a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v]$ is a normal word and (12) follows from the definition of the product of normal words (see Remark). Hence, assume that $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}(a, b)$. By definition, we have

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle[v_1]) = -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1]).$$

Applying induction on $\overrightarrow{m}$ (with $|a|=1$ and $|b\langle \overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1]|=|v|$) and on $|u|+|v|+|w|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v])\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w]\\
= \; & -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}(a\langle \overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1]))\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w]\\
= \; & -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}a\langle \overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle((b\langle \overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1])\langle \overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[w])\\
= \; & -\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{s}^3\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}\xi_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{s}^3}a\langle \overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^3\rangle[v_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2+\overrightarrow{s}^3\rangle[w]\\
\triangleq \; & A_0
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{s}^3}=(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2+\overrightarrow{s}^3}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{s}^3}$.

Now, let us take the right hand side of (12) for $u=a$, $v=b\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle[v_1]$, $\overrightarrow{m}\not< \overrightarrow{N}(a,b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle[v_1])\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w]+\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}a\langle \overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle(b\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle[v_1])\langle \overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w]\\
= \; & \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}^2\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[v_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[w]\\
&+\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}a\langle \overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[v_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[w]\\
\triangleq \; & A_1+A_2
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1=-\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}a\langle \overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[v_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[w],$$

$$A_2=\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}a\langle \overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[v_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[w].$$

It is not difficult to see that A_1+A_2 is equal to A_0: First, make a transformation

$$(\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l})=(\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2+\overrightarrow{s}^3)$$

where $\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l}\in Z_+^n$ and so $\overrightarrow{i}+\overrightarrow{j}+\overrightarrow{l}=\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{k}$. Then A_0 becomes a sum of the expressions

$$-\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{j}}a\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle[v_1]\langle \overrightarrow{l}\rangle[w].$$

Next, do a similar transformation

$$(\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l})=(\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2)$$

with $\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l}\in Z_+^n$. Then A_1 becomes a sum of the expressions

$$-(-1)^{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{j}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{l}-\overrightarrow{m}'}a\langle\overrightarrow{i}\rangle b\langle\overrightarrow{j}\rangle[v_1]\langle\overrightarrow{l}\rangle[w].$$

Do another transformation

$$(\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l})=(\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2)$$

with $\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l}\in Z_+^n$. Let $\gamma_2=(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^n l_i+m_i'}$, $\delta_2=\binom{m_1}{m_1-i_1}\cdots\binom{m_n}{m_n-i_n}$, $\delta_2'=\binom{k_1}{k_1-j_1}\cdots\binom{k_n}{k_n-j_n}$. Then A_2 becomes a sum of the expressions

$$(-1)^{\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{j}}a\langle\overrightarrow{i}\rangle b\langle\overrightarrow{j}\rangle[v_1]\langle\overrightarrow{l}\rangle[w].$$

Thus the associativity law for $u=a$ will follow if we can establish the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}&-\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\setminus 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{j}}\\ = \;& -(-1)^{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{j}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{l}-\overrightarrow{m}'}+(-1)^{\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'}\binom{m}{m-i}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{j}}\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Clearly, (13) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{j}}=(-1)^{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{j}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{l}-\overrightarrow{m}'}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{i}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{j}}=(-1)^{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{j}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{l}-\overrightarrow{m}'}\tag{14}$$

due to the equality

$$\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{i}}=\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{i}}{\overrightarrow{s}}.$$

Using a substitution

$$(\overrightarrow{a},\overrightarrow{b})=(\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{l}-\overrightarrow{m}')$$

with $\overrightarrow{a},\overrightarrow{b}\in Z_+^n$, and $\overrightarrow{a}+\overrightarrow{k}=\overrightarrow{b}+\overrightarrow{j}$, (14) becomes

$$(-1)^{\overrightarrow{a}}\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}\binom{\overrightarrow{a}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{j}}=\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{a}+\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{j}}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(-1)^{a_t}\sum_{s_t\in Z_+}\binom{a_t}{s_t}\binom{k_t+s_t}{j_t}=\binom{k_t}{a_t+k_t-j_t},\ 1\leqslant t\leqslant n.$$

The last identity is indeed valid (see, for example, D. Knuth. The art of computer programming).

Case 5. $u=D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_n^{i_n}a,\ i_t>0$ for some $t,\ 1\leqslant t\leqslant n$. In this case, we can repeat the argument from Case 2, and we are done.

Case 6. $|u|>1$. In this case, we write $[u]=a\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[u_1]$ where $\overrightarrow{k}\in Z_+^n,\ \overrightarrow{k}\prec\overrightarrow{N}(a,b),\ a,b\in B$ and b is the first letter of $[u_1]$.

By definition, the left hand side of (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&((a\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v])\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w]\\
=&\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}}(a\langle\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v])\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[w]\\
=&\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}a\langle\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle([u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[w]\\
=&\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{s}^3\in Z_+^n}\xi_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{s}^3}a\langle\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^3\rangle[v]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2+\overrightarrow{s}^3\rangle[w]\\
\triangleq\ &A_1
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{s}^3}=(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2+\overrightarrow{s}^3}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{s}^3}$.

Doing a transformation on the indices

$$(\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l})=(\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{s}^3,\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}^2+\overrightarrow{s}^3)$$

with $\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l}\in Z_+^n$, A_1 then becomes a sum of

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{i}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}-\overrightarrow{j}}a\langle\overrightarrow{i}\rangle[u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{j}\rangle[v]\langle\overrightarrow{l}\rangle[w]\qquad(15)$$

The right hand side of (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}(a\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[u_1])\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle([v]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w])\\
=&\sum_{\overrightarrow{s},\overrightarrow{s}^2\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}^2}a\langle\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2\rangle[v]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[w]\\
\triangleq\ &A_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Another transformation on indices

$$(\overrightarrow{i},\overrightarrow{j},\overrightarrow{l})=(\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{s}^2,\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s})$$

with $\overrightarrow{i}, \overrightarrow{j}, \overrightarrow{l} \in Z_+^n$, brings A_2 to a sum of

$$(-1)^{\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{j}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{l} - \overrightarrow{m}'} \binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{k} - \overrightarrow{i}} a\langle \overrightarrow{i} \rangle [u_1] \langle \overrightarrow{j} \rangle [v] \langle \overrightarrow{l} \rangle [w] \tag{16}$$

Finally, the fact that

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}+\overrightarrow{l}+\overrightarrow{m}'} \binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}} \binom{\overrightarrow{k} - \overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{k} - \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{i}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s}}{\overrightarrow{m} + \overrightarrow{s} - \overrightarrow{j}} = (-1)^{\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{j}} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{l} - \overrightarrow{m}'} \binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{k} - \overrightarrow{i}}$$

indicates that (15) and (16) are identical (this is (13) after only an exchange of the roles of $\overrightarrow{m}$ and $\overrightarrow{k}$).

This completes our proof that $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ is a free associative n-conformal algebra. $\square$

Corollary 2.6 *The normal words consist of a linear basis of the free associative n-conformal algebra $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$.*

3 Composition-Diamond lemma

Here and after, we only consider the situation when the locality function $\overrightarrow{N}(a, b), a, b \in B$, is uniformly bounded by some $\overrightarrow{N}$. Then without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overrightarrow{N}(a, b) = \overrightarrow{N}$ for all $a, b \in B$.

For $\overrightarrow{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in Z_+^n$, put $D^{\overrightarrow{i}} = D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n}$.

We assume that any polynomial of $f \in C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ is presented as a linear combination of normal words

$$[u] = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)} \rangle (a_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)} \rangle (\cdots (a_k \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(k)} \rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} a_{k+1}) \cdots)) \tag{17}$$

where $a_l \in B$, $\overrightarrow{m}^{(j)} \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m}^{(j)} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, $1 \leqslant l \leqslant k+1, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$, ind(u)$=\overrightarrow{i} \in Z_+^n$, $|u| = k+1, k \geqslant 0$. We shall refer to $[u]$ as D-free if ind(u)=$(0, \cdots, 0)$, and we shall say that f is D-free if every normal word in f is D-free. Also, put

$$u = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)} \rangle a_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)} \rangle \cdots a_k \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(k)} \rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} a_{k+1} \tag{18}$$

and call it an associative normal word.

We order the forms (17) and (18) according to the lexicographical ordering of their weights:

$$wt(u) = (|u|, a_1, \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}, a_2, \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}, \cdots, a_k, \overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}, a_{k+1}, \overrightarrow{i}).$$

For $f \in C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$, the leading associative word of f is denoted by $\overline{f}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \alpha_{\overline{f}}[\overline{f}] + \Sigma_i \alpha_i [u_i], \\ \overline{f} &= a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)} \rangle a_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)} \rangle \cdots a_k \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(k)} \rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} a_{k+1}, \\ u_i &< \overline{f}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $\deg(f) = |\overline{f}|$, and we will call f *monic* if $\alpha_{\overline{f}} = 1$.

All associative normal words (18) form a Ω-semigroup T with 0, where $\Omega = \{\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle | \overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n\}$. The multiplication in T is defined by the following formulas:

$$D^{\overrightarrow{i}} a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle x = a\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^n i_p \overrightarrow{e_p} \rangle x,$$

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle x = 0, \quad \overrightarrow{0} \not\prec \overrightarrow{m},$$

$$a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle x = 0, \quad \overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$$

where $a, b \in B$ and $x \in D^\omega(B)$.

We note that the associative law

$$(u\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle v)\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle w = u\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle (v\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle w)$$

holds trivially in T.

For any associative normal word

$$u = a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)} \rangle a_2 \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)} \rangle \cdots a_k \langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(k)} \rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} a_{k+1},$$

we denote by (u) a nonassociative word on u, i.e., (u) is some bracketing of u.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $[p]$ and $[q]$ be normal words. If $[p]$ is D-free, then for any $\overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$,*

$$[p]\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [q] = [p\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q] + \Sigma \gamma_i [q_i]$$

where $[q_i]$ are normal, $q_i < p\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q$, and $|q_i| = |p| + |q|$. If q is D-free, so are q_i's.

Proof. If $|p| = 1$, then $p = a \in B$, and $[p]\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [q]$ is normal. So let $|p| > 1$ and write $p = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [p_1]$, where $\overrightarrow{m}' \prec \overrightarrow{N}$. Then

$$(a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [p_1])\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [q] = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle ([p_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [q]) + \sum_{\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n \backslash 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{s}} a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle ([p_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{s} \rangle [q]) \quad (19)$$

Using induction on $|p|$, we have

$$a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle ([p_1]\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle [q]) = a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [p_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q] + \Sigma \beta_i a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [u_i]$$

where

$$|u_i| = |p_1| + |q|, \quad u_i < p_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q, \quad a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle u_i < a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle p_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q = p\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q.$$

By Lemma 2.4, the second summand in the right hand side of (19) is a linear combination of normal words of the following form:

$$a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle [w], \ |w| = |p_1| + |q| \ \textit{and} \ \overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n \backslash 0.$$

Hence

$$a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle w < a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle p_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q = p\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q, \quad |a_1 \langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{s} \rangle w| = |p\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle q|.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.2 *Let $u = a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle \cdots a_k\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} a_{k+1}$ be an associative normal word. Then for any nonassociative word (u), $(u) = [u] + \Sigma_l \alpha_l [u_l]$, where $[u_l]$ are normal words, $u_l < u$ and $|u_l| = |u|$. In particular, $\overline{(u)} = u$. If u is D-free, then the u_l's are D-free as well.*

Proof. Induction on $|u|$. The case of $|u| = 1$ is clear. Let $|u| > 1$, and so $(u) = (v)\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle(w)$ for some associative normal words w and v with v being D-free, and $\overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$. By induction, we have

$$(v) = [v] + \Sigma\alpha_l[v_l], (w) = [w] + \Sigma\beta_j[w_j]$$

where $v_l < v$, $w_j < w$, $|v_l| = |v|$, $|w_j| = |w|$, v_l's are D-free.
The lemma would follow from Lemma 3.1. □

Definition 3.3 *Let $S \subset C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ be a set of monic polynomials. We define S-words $(u)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$ by induction. (Actually, $(u)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$ is a word with the occurrence of a polynomial $D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s, s \in S$.)*

1) $(D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s} = D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s$ where $s \in S$, is an S-word of S-length 1.

2) If $u_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$ is an S-word of S-length k, and (v) is any word in B of length l, then $(u)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle(v)$ and $(v)\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle(u)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$ are S-words of S-length $k + l$.

The S-length of an S-word $(u)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$ will be denoted by $|u|_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$.

Remark. Any element of $\mathrm{Id}(D^\omega(S))$ is a linear combination of S-words. Yet for S-word $(u)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$, the length $|u|_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$ may increase under some of the transformation that follow. For this reason, we need the notion of formal degree (fdeg) of expression in $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$.

Definition 3.4 *Let $\Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(u_i), \alpha_i \neq 0$, be a linear combination of some words u_i in B. Put*

$$fdeg(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(u_i)) = \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant k} |u_i|.$$

Of course, $\mathrm{fdeg}(\Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(u_i))$ depends on the presentation of $\Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(u_i)$. It is also clear that $\mathrm{fdeg}(\Sigma\alpha_i(u_i)) \geqslant \mathrm{deg}(\Sigma\alpha_i(u_i))$, and if the (u_i)'s are normal, then $\mathrm{fdeg}(\Sigma\alpha_i(u_i)) = \mathrm{deg}(\Sigma\alpha_i(u_i))$.

Definition 3.5 *Let S be a set of monic elements in $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$. An associative normal S-word is an expression of the following forms:*

$$u_s = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b \tag{20}$$

where $s \in S$ is D-free, $a, b \in T$ with being D-free, $\overrightarrow{m}, \overrightarrow{m}' \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m}, \overrightarrow{m}' \prec \overrightarrow{N}$; or

$$u_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s} = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s \tag{21}$$

where $s \in S$, $\overrightarrow{i} \in Z_+^n, a \in T$ is D-free, $\overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$.

Here we note that a and b may be empty in (20) and (21).

The words in (20) will be referred to associative normal S-words of the first kind, while those words in (21) associative normal S-words of the second kind. The elements

$$[u]_s = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b] \quad \text{and} \quad [u]_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s} = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s]$$

will be referred to normal S-words of the first kind and the second kind, respectively. A common notation for words (20) and (21) is

$$u_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s} = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b$$

where s is D-free and $\overrightarrow{i} = (0,\cdots,0)$ if $b \neq 1$, while s and $\overrightarrow{i} \in Z_+^n$ are arbitrary if $b = 1$.

From now on, S will denote a set of monic polynomials.

Lemma 3.6 *Let* $[u]_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s} = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b]$ *be a normal* S*-word,* $a, b \in T$*. Then* $\overline{[u]_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}} = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle \overline{D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b]$.

Proof. Assume first that $b \neq 1$. Then $\overrightarrow{i} = (0,\cdots,0)$, s is D-free, and $[u]_s = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b]$. Let $s = [\overline{s}] + \Sigma\alpha_l[u_l]$ where $[\overline{s}]$ and $[u_l]$ are normal D-free, and $u_l < \overline{s}$. Applying Lemma 3.2 to each of the expressions

$$[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[\overline{s}]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b] \quad \text{and} \quad [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[u_l]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b],$$

we see that the leading associative normal word of $[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b]$ is $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b$.

Next if $b = 1$, then $[u]_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s} = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s]$. Again, we have

$$s = [\overline{s}] + \Sigma\alpha_l[u_l]$$

where $[\overline{s}]$ and $[u_l]$ are normal, $u_l < \overline{s}$. Also,

$$[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s] = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[\overline{s}]] + \Sigma\alpha_l[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[u_l]],$$

of course, $D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[\overline{s}] = [\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}] + \Sigma\beta_j[v_j]$ with normal $[v_j]$ such that $v_j < \overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$ where $\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$ means that we apply $D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$ to the last letter of $\overline{s}$. To see this, we use induction on $|\overline{s}|$. For $|\overline{s}| = 1$, it is clear. So assume $\overline{s} = a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[s']$, s' is normal, $\overrightarrow{m}' \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m}' \prec \overrightarrow{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[\overline{s}] &= D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[s']] = \sum_{\overrightarrow{t}\in Z_+^n} \binom{\overrightarrow{i}}{\overrightarrow{t}} D^{\overrightarrow{t}} a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}-\overrightarrow{t}}[s'] \\ &= a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[s'] + \sum_{\overrightarrow{t}\in Z_+^n\setminus 0} (-1)^{\overrightarrow{t}} \prod_{q=1}^{n}[m_q']^{t_q} \binom{\overrightarrow{i}}{\overrightarrow{t}} a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}' - \overrightarrow{t}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}-\overrightarrow{t}}[s'] \end{aligned}$$

where $[m_q']^{t_q} = m_q'(m_q' - 1)\cdots(m_q' - t_q + 1), 1 \leqslant q \leqslant n$. By induction,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[s'] &= [\overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}] + \Sigma\alpha_j[u_j], \\ D^{\overrightarrow{i}-\overrightarrow{t}}[s'] &= [\overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}-\overrightarrow{t}}] + \Sigma\gamma_j[w_j] \end{aligned}$$

with normal $[u_j]$ and $[w_j]$ such that $u_j < \overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}, w_j < \overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}-\overrightarrow{t}}$. So

$$[\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}] = a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[\overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}],\quad a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_j] < a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[\overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}],$$

$$a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{t}\rangle[\overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}-\overrightarrow{t}}] < a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[\overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}],\quad a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{t}\rangle[w_j] < a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[\overline{s'}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}]$$

for $\overrightarrow{t} \in Z_+^n\backslash 0$. We are done.

Similarly, $D^{\overrightarrow{i}}[u_l] = [u_lD^{\overrightarrow{i}}] + \Sigma\beta'_j[v'_j]$ with normal $[v'_j]$ such that $v'_j < u_lD^{\overrightarrow{i}}$. Since $u_l < \overline{s}$, $u_lD^{\overrightarrow{i}} < \overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$. So $\overline{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s} = \overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$, $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle u_lD^{\overrightarrow{i}} < a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$.

Thus we see that the leading associative normal word of $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s$ is $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$, which is equal to $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{D^{\overrightarrow{i}}s}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Definition 3.7 *Let f and g be monic polynomials of $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ and $w\in T$. We have the following compositions.*

- *If g is D-free and $w=\overline{f}=u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{g}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle v$ for some $u,v\in T$ with u D-free then define*

$$(f,g)_w = f-[u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle g\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle v],$$

which is a composition of including.

- *If $w=\overline{f}D_{j_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{j_k}^{i_k}=u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{g}D_{j_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{j_n}^{i_n}$ for some $u\in T$, u D-free, $i_1,\cdots,i_n\in Z_+$, $(j_1,\cdots,j_k,j_{k+1},\cdots,j_n)\in S_n$, then define*

$$(f,g)_w = D_{j_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{j_k}^{i_k}f-[u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{j_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{j_n}^{i_n}g],$$

which is a composition of right including.

- *If f is D-free and $w=\overline{f}\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle v=u\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle\overline{g}$ for some $u,v\in T$, u D-free, and $|\overline{f}|+|\overline{g}|>|w|$, then define*

$$(f,g)_w = [f\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle v]-[u\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle g],$$

which is a composition of intersection.

- *If $u\in B$ and $\overrightarrow{m}\not<\overrightarrow{N}$, then $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle f$ is referred to as a composition of left multiplication.*
- *If f is not D-free, $a\in B$ and $\overrightarrow{m}\in Z_+^n$, then $f\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a$ is referred to as a composition of right multiplication.*

Remark. From Lemma 3.6, it follows that for any composition, $\overline{(f,g)_w}<w$.

Definition 3.8 *Let S be a set of polynomials in $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$. A composition $(f,g)_w$ is said to be trivial modulo S, denoted by $(f,g)_w\equiv 0$ mod S or $(f,g)_w\equiv 0$ $mod(S,w)$, if*

$$(f,g)_w=\sum_{l\in I}\alpha_l[u_l\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(l)}\rangle s_l\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(l)}\rangle v_l]+\sum_{j\in J}\alpha_j[u_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(j)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i_j}}s_j] \tag{22}$$

where $I\cap J=\emptyset, s_l,s_j\in S$, $[u_l\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(l)}\rangle s_l\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(l)}\rangle v_l]$ and $[u_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(j)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i_j}}s_j]$ are normal S-words (in particular, $s_l, l\in I$, are D-free), and $u_l\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(l)}\rangle\overline{s_l}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(l)}\rangle v_l<w$, $u_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(j)}\rangle\overline{s_j}D^{\overrightarrow{i_j}}<w$ for

all $l \in I, j \in J$. Also, if f and g are both D-free, then all normal S-words in (22) are D-free as well.

Next, $h = a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle f$ or $g\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle a$, where $a \in B, \overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$ and $\overrightarrow{m}' \in Z_+^n$, is call trivial mod S ($h \equiv 0$ mod S) if h can be written in the form (22) with

$$|u_l\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(l)} \rangle \overline{s_l} \langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(l)} \rangle v_l| \leqslant |\overline{h}| \ , \ |u_j\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(j)} \rangle \overline{s_j} D^{\overrightarrow{i_j}}| \leqslant |\overline{h}|$$

for $l \in I, j \in J$. Also, all normal S-words in (22) are D-free if $h = g\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle a$ or $h = a\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle f$ with f D-free.

Finally, a set $S \subseteq C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ of monic polynomials is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis if all compositions of elements of S are trivial modulo S.

Remark. When calculating compositions in what follows, we will omit the elements the form of the right hand side of (22). Such an omission will be signified by replacing the symbol $=$ by the symbol $\equiv$. Thus in this notation, the triviality of a composition h (mod S) can be stated as $h \equiv 0$ (mod S).

Lemma 3.9 *Let $s \in S$ be D-free and $u \in T$. Then the S-word $[s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle u]$ is a linear combination of normal S-words $s\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(l)} \rangle u_l$, where $\overrightarrow{m}^{(l)} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, $u_l \in T, |u_l| = |u|$. If u is D-free, then u_l's are D-free as well.*

Proof. We use induction on $\overrightarrow{m}$. If $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, then $[s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle u]$ is already a normal S-word. So we let $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$. Assume first that $|u| = 1$, so $u = D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} a$ for some $a \in B$ and $i_1, \cdots i_n \in Z_+$. When $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$, $s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle u = s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle a = 0$ since s is D-free, $a \in B$ and $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$. Thus, no less of generality, assume that $i_{l_1}, \cdots, i_{l_k} > 0$, $i_{l_{k+1}} = \cdots = i_{l_n} = 0$, $1 < k \leqslant n$, $(l_1, \cdots, l_n) \in S_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} (s\langle m \rangle a) \\ &= s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} a + \sum_{(s_1, \cdots, s_k) \in Z_+^k \setminus 0} \alpha_{s_1, \cdots, s_k} s\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}} \rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1} - s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k} - s_k} a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k}} a = \sum_{(s_1, \cdots, s_k) \in Z_+^k \setminus 0} -\alpha_{s_1, \cdots, s_k} s\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \Sigma_{p=1}^k s_p \overrightarrow{e_{l_p}} \rangle D_{l_1}^{i_{l_1} - s_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_{l_k} - s_k} a.$$

The case that $|u| = 1$ follows from the induction on $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$.

Now, let $|u| > 1$, and write

$$u = a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle u_1, \ \overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n, \ \overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}, \ a_1 \in B.$$

Then

$$s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle u = s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle (a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [u_1]) = (s\langle \overrightarrow{m} \rangle a_1)\langle \overrightarrow{m}' \rangle [u_1] - \sum_{\overrightarrow{t} \in Z_+^n \setminus 0} \beta_{\overrightarrow{t}} s\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{t} \rangle (a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{t} \rangle [u_1])$$

where $s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a_1 = 0$ as we have seen. By Lemma 2.4, all $a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{t}\rangle[u_1]$ are linear combinations of normal words of the same length. Then

$$s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle u = \sum \gamma_{\overrightarrow{t},j} s\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{t}\rangle[v_j],\ v_j \in T,\ |v_j| = |v|.$$

Hence, the result follows from the induction on $\overrightarrow{m}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.10 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, $s \in S$ not D-free, and $u \in T$. Then the S-word $[s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle u]$ of formal degree L is a linear combination of normal S-words of degree at most L. If u is D-free, then the normal S-words in consideration are D-free as well.*

Proof. Suppose $|u| = 1$. Then $u = D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} a$ for some $a \in B$. If $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$, then $u = a$ and the statement follows from the triviality of the right multiplication. No less of generality, assume that $i_k > 0$ for some k, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Then

$$s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} a = D_k(s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_k^{i_k - 1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} a) + m_k s\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_k}\rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_k^{i_k - 1} \cdots D_n^{i_n} a$$

and the results follows from the fact that the derivation of any normal S-word is a linear combination of normal S-words, and the induction on $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$.

Now, assume that $|u| > 1$. Hence $u = a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle u_1$ for some $a_1 \in B$ and $u_1 \in T$. Then

$$[s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle u] = s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle(a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1]) = \sum_{\overrightarrow{t} \in Z_+^n} \binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{t}} (s\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{t}\rangle a_1)\langle\overrightarrow{m}' + \overrightarrow{t}\rangle[u_1].$$

Again, using the triviality of the right multiplication, we have that every $s\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{t}\rangle a_1$ is linear combination of D-free normal S-words of degree at most $L - |u_1|$ of the form

$$[v\langle\overrightarrow{l}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{t}\rangle w] \tag{23}$$

where $s_1 \in S$ and $v, w \in T$ (which may be empty). Multiplying (23) by some $\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[u_1]$ from the right, and applying the associative law, we obtain a linear combination of words of the form

$$\begin{cases} [v'\langle\overrightarrow{l}'\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{t}'\rangle w'\langle\overrightarrow{k}'\rangle u_1], & \text{if } w \neq 1 \\ [v'\langle\overrightarrow{l}'\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{k}'\rangle u_1], & \text{if } w = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\overrightarrow{l}' \preceq \overrightarrow{l} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, $\overrightarrow{t}' \preceq \overrightarrow{t} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, $v', w' \in T$, and $k \preceq k'$. We can assume, using Lemma 2.4, that in the words of the first form, all $w'\langle\overrightarrow{k}'\rangle u_1$, are normal, and also, since s_1 is D-free now, Lemma 3.9 can be applied to the words of the second form. In all cases, we have linear combinations of normal S-words of degrees at most L. $\square$

The proof of the next lemma is similar to that of Lemma 2.3. The only difference is that we add to B a new letter $D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s$, and we are dealing with words with one occurrence of $D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s$.

Lemma 3.11 *Any S-word $(u)_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s}$ can be presented as a linear combination of right normed S-words*

$$[v]_{D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s} = x_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle(x_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle \cdots (x_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle x_{k+1}) \cdots) \tag{24}$$

where $x_{j_0} = D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s$ for some $j_0 \in \{1, \cdots k+1\}$, and $x_j \in D^\omega(B)$ for $j \neq j_0$, each of the same formal degree.

Lemma 3.12 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$. Then any S-word $(v)_{D^{\vec{i}}s}$ of formal degree L is a linear combination of normal S-words of degree less or equal to L. If $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$, then all the normal S-words are D-free as well.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.11, we may assume that $(v)_{D^{\vec{i}}s} = [v]_{D^{\vec{i}}s}$ of the form (24). If $L = 1$, then $(v)_{D^{\vec{i}}s} = D^{\vec{i}}s$, and it is a normal S-word.

Now, let $L > 1$. Consider first that $|v|_{D^{\vec{i}}s} = 2$. There are essentially two possibilities:

$$[v]_s = s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{j}}a \quad or \quad [v]_{D^{\vec{i}}s} = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{i}}s$$

where $s \in S,\ a \in B$. In the first case, the result follows from Lemma 3.9 or Lemma 3.10.

Suppose $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ in $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{i}}s$, so $[v_s] = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s$. If $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, it is a normal S-word. If $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$, we are done by the triviality of left multiplication.

Assume that $i_k > 0$ for some $k,\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, in $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{i}}s$, and that all

$$a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_k^{i_k-1} \cdots D_n^{i_n}s$$

are linear combinations of normal S-words of degrees at most L. Now the result follows from the fact that

$$a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_n^{i_n}s = D_k(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_k^{i_k-1} \cdots D_n^{i_n}s) + m_k a\langle m - e_k\rangle D_1^{i_1} \cdots D_k^{i_k-1} \cdots D_n^{i_n}s,$$

the derivation of any normal S-word is a linear combination of normal S-words and the induction on $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$.

Now, let $|v|_{D^{\vec{i}}s} \geqslant 3$. If $(v)_{D^{\vec{i}}s}$ begins with $D^{\vec{i}}s$, then we may assume that $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$, $[v]_s = s\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[u]$, $s \in S$, $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$, and $[u]$ is a normal word. Then, by Lemma 3.9 or Lemma 3.10 we are done.

Suppose that $[v]_{D^{\vec{i}}s} = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[u]_{D^{\vec{i}}s}$ for some $a \in B$ and $\overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$. We may assume that $[u]_{D^{\vec{i}}s}$ is a normal S-word of degree less than or equal to $L-1$. If $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, then $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[u]_{D^{\vec{i}}s}$ is already a normal S-word. So let $\overrightarrow{m} \not\prec \overrightarrow{N}$. Applying the associativity law to $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[u]_{D^{\vec{i}}s}$, we get a linear combination of four kinds of words (of formal degree less than or equal to L):

$$\begin{aligned} & a\langle\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{t}\rangle[u]_{D^{\vec{i}}s} \ \ (\overrightarrow{t} \in Z_+^n\backslash 0), \ \ (a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle b)\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u]_{D^{\vec{i}}s}, \\ & a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{i}}s, \ \ (a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s)\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1] \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $a, b \in B$. Induction on $\overrightarrow{m}$ is applicable to the words of the first kind. Since $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle b = 0$, the words of the second kind are zero. The words of the third type in (25) have been treated above. Note that, by triviality of left multiplications, the words of the fourth kind are linear combination of words

$$[v_l\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(l)}\rangle s_l\langle\overrightarrow{t}^{(l)}\rangle w_l]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1], \quad [v_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(j)}\rangle D^{\vec{i}_j}s_j]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1] \tag{26}$$

where $[v_l\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(l)}\rangle s_l\langle\overrightarrow{t}^{(l)}\rangle w_l]$ are normal S-words of the first kind, $[v_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(j)}\rangle D^{\vec{i}_j}s_j]$ are normal S-words of the second kind, and all words in (26) have formal degree at most L.

Applying the associative law to (26), we get a linear combination of right normed S-words, of formal degree less than or equal to L of the form

$$[v_l'\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(l)}\rangle s_l\langle \overrightarrow{t}'^{(l)}\rangle w_l'\langle \overrightarrow{m}''\rangle u_1], \quad [v_j'\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(j)}\rangle s_j\langle \overrightarrow{m}'''\rangle u_1]$$

where $s_l, s_j \in S$, v_l', w_l' and v_j' are normal D-free associative words (w_l' may be empty), $\overrightarrow{m}'^{(l)} \preceq \overrightarrow{m}^{(l)} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, $\overrightarrow{t}'^{(l)} \preceq \overrightarrow{t}^{(l)} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$, and $\overrightarrow{m}'' \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{m}'^{(j)} \preceq \overrightarrow{m}^{(j)} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$ and $\overrightarrow{m}''' \in Z_+^n$. Now the result follows from Lemmas 2.4, 3.9 and 3.10 the same way as before.

In the above argument, we have used the compositions of left and right multiplications. If $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ and s is D-free, we only need compositions of left multiplications. In this case, we get only D-free normal S-words. □

Here and after, we say that a polynomial f is smaller than another g if $\overline{f} < \overline{g}$.

Lemma 3.13 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. Let*

$$(r)_s = [p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t] \tag{27}$$

be an S-word where $[p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$ is a normal S-word of the first kind, q is D-free, $t \in T$, and $\overrightarrow{k} \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{k} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$. Then $(r)_s$ is equal to $[p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t]$ modulo smaller normal S-words of the first kind. If t is D-free, then all the words are D-free as well.

Proof. Consider first that $|p| = 0$. If $|q| = 0$, then $s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t = [s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t]$ is already a normal S-word. So we assume that $|q| > 0$. Then

$$(s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle [q])\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t] = s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle ([q]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t]) + \sum_{\overrightarrow{l}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{l}}\, s\langle \overrightarrow{j} - \overrightarrow{l}\rangle ([q]\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [t]).$$

By Lemma 3.1, $[q]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t]$ is equal to $[q\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t]$ modulo smaller normal words. So $s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle ([q]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t])$ is equal to $s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle [q\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t] = [s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t]$ modulo smaller normal S-words. By Lemma 2.4, $[q]\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [t]$ is a linear combination of normal words $[u_i]$ of the same length. It follows that $s\langle \overrightarrow{j} - \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [u_i]$, $\overrightarrow{l} \in Z_+^n\backslash 0$, are smaller normal S-words.

Now, let $|p| \geqslant 1$, and $p = a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle p_1$ where $a_1 \in B$, $\overrightarrow{m} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$ and $|p_1| \geqslant 0$. Denote for simplicity that $[h]_s = [p_1\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$. Then (27) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} [p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t] &= (a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle [h]_s)\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t] \\ &= a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle ([h]_s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t]) + \sum_{\overrightarrow{l}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0} \beta_{\overrightarrow{l}}\, a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{l}\rangle ([h]_s\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [t]). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|p_1| < |p|$, the induction on $|p|$ applies and we have that $a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle ([h]_s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [t])$ is equal to $a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle [h_s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t] = [a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle h_s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle t]$ modulo smaller normal S-words. On the other hand, let $L = \mathrm{fdeg}(r)_s$. Then by Lemma 3.12, $[h]_s\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [t]$ is a linear combination of normal S-words of the first kind whose degree is at most $L-1$. Hence $a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{l}\rangle ([h]_s\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [t])$ is a linear combination of smaller normal S-words of the first kind. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.14 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. Let*

$$(r)_s = [t]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$$

be an S-word where $[p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$ is a normal S-word of the first kind, $t \in T$ is D-free, and $\overrightarrow{k} \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{k} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$. Then $(r)_s$ is equal to $[t\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$ modulo smaller normal S-words of the first kind. If q is D-free, then all the words are D-free as well.

Proof. We shall use induction on $|t|$. When $|t| = 1, t = a \in B$ and $(r)_s$ is a normal S-word. So, let $|t| > 1$ and write $t = a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle t_1$. For simplicity, we put $[h]_s = [p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$. Then

$$(r)_s = (a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle [t_1])\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [h]_s = a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle ([t_1]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [h]_s) + \sum_{\overrightarrow{l} \in Z_+^n \setminus 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{l}} a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{l}\rangle ([t_1]\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [h]_s).$$

We can apply induction on $t_1\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [h]_s$ since $|t_1| < |t|$. As a result, $a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle (t_1\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [h]_s)$ is equal to $a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle [t_1\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q] = [t\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$ modulo smaller normal S-words of the first kind. By Lemma 3.12, $[t_1]\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [h]_s$ is a linear combination of normal S-words of the first kind whose degree is at most $\mathrm{fdeg}(r)_s - 1$. Thus, each $a_1\langle \overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{l}\rangle ([t_1]\langle \overrightarrow{k} + \overrightarrow{l}\rangle [h]_s)$ is a linear combination of normal S-words of the first kind which are smaller than $[t\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle p\langle \overrightarrow{i}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{j}\rangle q]$. □

Proposition 3.15 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$, and let $u_s = v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle w$ be an associative normal S-word of the first kind. Let $(u)_s$ be some bracketing of u_s. Then*

$$(u)_s = [v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle w] + \Sigma\alpha_i[u_i]_{s_i}$$

where $[u_i]_{s_i}$ are normal S-words of the first kind, and $\overline{u_i} < \overline{u} = v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle \overline{s}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle w$. If w is D-free (or empty), then all the u_i's are D-free as well.

Proof. Let $L = \mathrm{fdeg}(u)_s \geqslant 1$. If $L = 1$, then $|u|_s = 1$ and $(u)_s = s$ is a normal S-word. Let $L > 1$, $|u|_s > 1$, and

$$(u)_s = (u_1)_s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle (u_2) \quad \textit{or} \quad (u)_s = (u_1)\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle (u_2)_s$$

for some $\overrightarrow{k} \in Z_+^n$, $\overrightarrow{k} \prec \overrightarrow{N}$.

Suppose $(u)_s = (u_1)_s\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle (u_2)$. Then $u_1 = v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle p$ and $w = p\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle u_2$ where p is D-free and may be empty. By induction on $|u|$, we have

$$(u_1)_s = [v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle p] + \Sigma\alpha_i[v_i]_{s_i}$$

where $[v_i]_{s_i}$ are D-free normal S-words of the first kind, and $\overline{v_i} < v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle \overline{s}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle p$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$(u_2) = [u_2] + \Sigma\beta_j[w_j]$$

where $[w_j]$ are normal words with $w_j < u_2$. Thus

$$(u)_s = [v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle p]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [u_2] + \Sigma\alpha_i[v_i]_{s_i}\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [u_2] + \Sigma\beta_j[v\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle p]\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [w_j] + \Sigma\alpha_i\beta_j[v_i]_{s_i}\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle [w_j].$$

Now, the claim follows from Lemma 3.13.

Similarly, the case when $(u)_s = (u_1)\langle \overrightarrow{k}\rangle (u_2)_s$ follows from Lemma 3.14. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.16 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$. Let*

$$(r)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}=[t]\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[p\langle\overrightarrow{i}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s]$$

be an S-word where $[p\langle\overrightarrow{i}\rangle D^{l}s]$ is a normal S-word of the second kind, $t\in T$ is D-free, and $\overrightarrow{k}\in Z_{+}^{n}$, $\overrightarrow{k}\prec\overrightarrow{N}$. Then $(r)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}$ is equal to the normal S-word $[t\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle p\langle\overrightarrow{i}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s]$ modulo smaller normal S-words.

Proof. We shall use induction on $|t|$. When $|t|=1, t=a\in B$ and $(r)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}$ is a normal S-word. Let $|t|>1$ and write $t=a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle t_1$. For simplicity, we put $[h]_{D^{\vec{l}}s}=[p\langle\overrightarrow{i}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(r)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}&=(a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[t_1])\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[h]_{D^{\vec{l}}s}\\&=a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle([t_1]\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[h]_{D^{\vec{l}}s})+\sum_{\overrightarrow{j}\in Z_{+}^{n}\setminus 0}\alpha_{\overrightarrow{j}}a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{j}\rangle([t_1]\langle\overrightarrow{k}+\overrightarrow{j}\rangle[h]_{D^{\vec{l}}s}).\end{aligned}$$

We are done by induction on $|t|$ and Lemma 3.12. □

Proposition 3.17 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$, and let $u_{D^{\vec{l}}s}=v\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s$ be an associative normal S-word of the second kind. Let $(u)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}$ be some bracketing of $u_{D^{\vec{l}}s}$. Then*

$$(u)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}=[v\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s]+\Sigma\alpha_j[u_j]_{D^{\vec{l}_k}s_k}$$

where $[u_j]_{D^{\vec{l}_k}s_k}$ are normal S-words with $\overline{u_j}<\overline{u}=v\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}D^{\vec{l}}$.

Proof. Let $L=$fdeg$(u)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}\geqslant 1$. If $L=1$, then $(u)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}=D^{\vec{l}}s$ is a normal S-word. Let $L>1$, $|u|_{D^{\vec{l}}s}>1$, and

$$(u)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}=(u_1)\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle(u_2)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}$$

for some $\overrightarrow{k}\in Z_{+}^{n}$, $\overrightarrow{k}\prec\overrightarrow{N}$.

Suppose $u_2=p\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s$ and $v=u_1\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle p$, where p is D-free and may be empty. By induction on $|u|_{D^{\vec{l}}s}$, we have

$$(u_2)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}=[p\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s]+\Sigma\alpha_j[v_j]_{D^{\vec{l}_k}s_k}$$

where $[v_j]_{D^{\vec{l}_k}s_k}$ are normal S-words , and $\overline{v_j}<p\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}D^{\vec{l}}$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$(u_1)=[u_1]+\Sigma\beta_j[w_j]$$

where $[w_j]$ are normal words with $w_j<u_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(u)_{D^{\vec{l}}s}\ &=\ [u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[p\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s]+\Sigma\alpha_j[u_1]\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[v_j]_{D^{\vec{l}_k}s_k}\\&\quad+\Sigma\beta_j[w_j]\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[p\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\vec{l}}s]+\Sigma\alpha_j\beta_j[w_j]\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle[v_j]_{D^{\vec{l}_k}s_k}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, the claim follows from Lemmas 3.16 and 3.14. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.18 *Let* S *be a set of monic polynomial,* $s_1, s_2 \in S$*. Let* $(s_1, s_2)_w$ *be one of the following composition:*

- $(s_1, s_2)_w = s_1 - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_2]$*, where* $w = \overline{s_1} = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_2}$*;*
- $(s_1, s_2)_w = s_1 - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle v]$*, where* $w = \overline{s_1} = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_2}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle v$*,* $v \neq 1$*, and* s_2 *is D-free;*
- $(s_1, s_2)_w = D_{l_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k}s_1 - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n}s_2]$*, where* $w = \overline{s_1}D_{l_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k} = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_2}D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n}$*,* $(l_1, \cdots, l_k, l_{k+1}, \cdots, l_n) \in S_n$*;*
- $(s_1, s_2)_w = [s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle v] - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle s_2]$*, where* $w = \overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle v = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle\overline{s_2}$*,* $v \neq 1$*,* s_1 *is D-free, and* $|\overline{s_1}| + |\overline{s_2}| > |w|$*.*

If $(s_1, s_2)_w \equiv 0$ *mod*(S, w)*, then we have, respectively,*

- $D^{\overrightarrow{j}}s_1 - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}}s_2] \equiv 0$ *mod* $(S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}})$*;*
- $D^{\overrightarrow{j}}s_1 - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle vD^{\overrightarrow{j}}] \equiv 0$ *mod* $(S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}})$*;*
- $D^{\overrightarrow{j}}(D_{l_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k}s_1) - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}}(D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n}s_2)] \equiv 0$ *mod* $(S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}})$*;*
- $[s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle vD^{\overrightarrow{j}}] - [u\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}}s_2] \equiv 0$ *mod* $(S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}})$*.*

Proof. The fact that $(s_1, s_2)_w \equiv 0$ *mod*(S, w) means that

$$(s_1, s_2)_w = \sum_{i\in I}\alpha_i[u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i] + \sum_{k\in J}\alpha_k[u_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{l_k}}s_k]$$

where all the S-words on the right hand side are normal and less than w. We shall show that

$$D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1] \equiv [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}}s_1] \text{ mod } (S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}}) \tag{28}$$

and

$$D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b] \equiv [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle bD^{\overrightarrow{j}}] \text{ mod } (S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}}) \tag{29}$$

for all $\overrightarrow{j} \in Z_+^n$, $a, b \in T$, where s_2 is D-free and $b \neq 1$.

Now we use an induction on $\overrightarrow{j}$ to show that

$$D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1] = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}}s_1] + \varepsilon_1$$

where ε_1 is a linearly combination of normal S-words that less than $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_1}D^{\overrightarrow{j}}$. If $j_1 = \cdots = j_n = 0$, it is clear. Suppose that $j_t > 0$ for some t, $1 \leqslant t \leqslant n$. Consider first that $|a| = 1$. Then $a \in B$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1] &= D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}[D_ta\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1 + a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_ts_1] \\ &= D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}[\alpha a\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle s_1 + a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_ts_1] \\ &= \alpha D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle s_1] + D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_ts_1]. \end{aligned}$$

By induction on $\overrightarrow{j}$, we have

$$D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle s_1] = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}s_1] + \varepsilon_1',$$

$$D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D_t s_1] = [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}} s_1] + \varepsilon_1''$$

where ε_1' and ε_1'' are linear combination of normal S-words that less than $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle\overline{s_1}D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}}$ and $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_1}D^{\overrightarrow{j}}$. We are done since $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{e_t}\rangle\overline{s_1}D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{e_t}} < a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_1}D^{\overrightarrow{j}}$.

Assume that $|a|>1$, and write $a=a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1] &= D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1]] \\ &= a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1] + \sum_{\overrightarrow{l}\in Z_+^n\setminus 0} \alpha_{\overrightarrow{l}}\, a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{l}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j}-\overrightarrow{l}}[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1]. \end{aligned}$$

We are done by induction on $|a|$ and $\overrightarrow{j}$, and (28) follows.

Similarly, using induction on $\overrightarrow{j}$ again, one has

$$D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b] \equiv [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle bD^{\overrightarrow{j}}] + \varepsilon_2$$

where ε_2 is a linear combination of normal S-words that less than $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_2}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle\ bD^{\overrightarrow{j}}$, and (29) follows.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\overrightarrow{j}}(s_1,s_2)_w &= \sum_{i\in I}\alpha_i D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i] + \sum_{k\in J}\alpha_k D^{\overrightarrow{j}}[u_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{l_k}} s_k] \\ &\equiv \sum_{i\in I}\alpha_i[u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i D^{\overrightarrow{j}}] + \sum_{k\in J}\alpha_k[u_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{l_k}+\overrightarrow{j}} s_k] \ \ mod(S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i < w$ and $u_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle\overline{s_k}D^{\overrightarrow{l_k}} < w$, $u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i D^{\overrightarrow{j}} < wD^{\overrightarrow{j}}$ and $u_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle\overline{s_k}D^{\overrightarrow{l_k}+\overrightarrow{j}} < wD^{\overrightarrow{j}}$. Therefore, we have

$$D^{\overrightarrow{j}}(s_1,s_2)_w \equiv 0\ mod(S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j}})\ for\ all\ \overrightarrow{j}\in Z_+^n.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.19 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ with fixed $\overrightarrow{N}$. If $f\in Id(D^\omega(S))$, then leading associative word $\overline{f}$ of f is either*

$$\overline{f} = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle v\ or\ \overline{f} = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{j}}$$

for some $s\in S$ which is D-free in the first case.

Proof. Let $f\in Id(D^\omega(S))$. By Lemma 3.12,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i[u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_i}} s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i] \qquad (30)$$

where $[u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_i}} s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i]$ are normal S-words ($j_i=0$ and s_i is D-free if $v_i\neq 1$). We will assume that the right hand side of (30) has no similar summands.

Let us take leading associative words. By Lemma 3.6,

$$w_i = u_i\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle \overline{s_i} D^{\overrightarrow{j_i}}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k,$$

and arrange them in decreasing order, i.e.,

$$w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_l > w_{l+1} \geqslant w_{l+2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant w_k.$$

We will use induction on (w_1, l).

If $l = 1$, then $\overline{f} = u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle \overline{s_1} D^{\overrightarrow{j_1}}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1$ and we are done. So let $|l| > 1$. Then

$$w_1 = u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle \overline{s_1} D^{\overrightarrow{j_1}}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1 = u_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle \overline{s_2} D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2.$$

Assume first that $v_1 \neq 1$ and $v_2 \neq 1$. Thus $j_1 = 0$, $j_2 = 0$ and s_1, s_2 are D-free. Let us rewrite the first two summands of (30) in the form

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \alpha_1[u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] + \alpha_2[u_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] \\ = & (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)[u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] \\ & +\alpha_2([u_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1]) \end{array} \tag{31}$$

There are three cases to be discussed.

Case 1. $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ are mutually disjoint. We assume that $\overline{s_1}$ is at the left of s_2, i.e.,

$$u_2 = u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle \overline{s_1}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a \ \mathit{and}\ v_1 = a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle \overline{s_2}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2,$$

here $a \in T$ may be empty. The last item of (31) can be rewritten:

$$\begin{array}{rl} & [u_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] \\ = & [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle \overline{s_1}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle \overline{s_2}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] \\ \triangleq & A + B \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} A = [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle \overline{s_1}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2], \\ B = [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle \overline{s_2}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2]. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.15, A and B are linear combination of normal S-words with leading associative words less than w_1. Thus, we can rewrite f with a smaller (w_1, l).

Case 2. One of s_1 and s_2 is a subword of the other, say, $w = s_1 = a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle \overline{s_2}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b$. Then $\overrightarrow{m} = \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}$, $\overrightarrow{m}' = \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}$, $u_2 = u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle a$ and $v_2 = b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1$. Let $(s_1, s_2)_w = s_1 - [a\langle \overrightarrow{m}\rangle \overline{s_2}\langle \overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b]$, and rewrite the last item of (31) into

$$\begin{array}{rl} & [u_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] \\ = & [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] \\ \triangleq & A - B \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A & = & [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle b\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle [a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle b]\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1], \\ B & = & [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] - [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle [a\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle b]\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] \\ & = & [u_1\langle \overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle (s_1, s_2)_w\langle \overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1]. \end{array}$$

We apply Proposition 3.15 to A and obtain a linear combination of normal S-words with leading associative monomials less than w_1. On the other hand, since s_1 and s_2 are D-free, $(s_1, s_2)_w$ is a linear combination of D-free normal S-words which are less than w. As a result, we can also apply Proposition 3.15 to B and obtain a linear combination of normal S-words which are less than w_1. We again rewrite f with a smaller (w_1, l).

Case 3. s_1 and s_2 have a nonempty intersection as subword of w, and s_1 and s_2 are not subwords of each other. Assume that $\overline{s_1}$ is at the left of $\overline{s_2}$, i.e., $u_2 = u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle b$, $v_1 = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2$, and $\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle a = b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2} = w$, where $a, b \in T$ are D-free, and $|\overline{s_1}| + |\overline{s_2}| > \overline{w}$. Let $(s_1, s_2)_w = [s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle a] - [b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2]$, and rewrite the last item of (30) into

$$\begin{aligned}
& [u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] \\
= \; & [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] = A - B - C
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle[b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2], \\
B &= [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2] - [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle[s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a]\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2], \\
C &= [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle(s_1, s_2)_w\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(2)}\rangle v_2].
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.15, A and B are linear combination of normal S-words which are less than w_1. Applying Proposition 3.15 and using the fact that S is Gröbner-Shirshov basis, we conclude that C is also a linear combination of normal S-words which are less than w_1, and we have decrease (w_1, l) in this case as well.

Now, we consider the cases of $v_1 = v_2 = 1$ and $v_1 \neq 1, v_2 = 1$.

When $v_1 = v_2 = 1$, we have

$$w_1 = u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle\overline{s_1}D^{\overrightarrow{j_1}} = u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}.$$

Rewrite the first two summands of (30) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_1[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_1}}s_1] + \alpha_2[u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2] \\
= \; & (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_1}}s_1] + \alpha_2([u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2] - [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_1}}s_1]) \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $j_{l_1 2} \geqslant j_{l_1 1}, \cdots, j_{l_k 2} \geqslant j_{l_k 1}, j_{l_{k+1} 2} \leqslant j_{l_{k+1} 1}, \cdots, j_{l_n 2} \leqslant j_{l_n 1}$, $(l_1, \cdots, l_n) \in S_n$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and put $i_1 = j_{l_1 2} - j_{l_1 1} \geqslant 0, \cdots, i_k = j_{l_k 2} - j_{l_k 1} \geqslant 0$, $i_{k+1} = j_{l_{k+1} 1} - j_{l_{k+1} 2} \geqslant 0, \cdots, i_n = j_{l_n 1} - j_{l_n 2} \geqslant 0$. Write $w_1 = w' D_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}} \cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}} D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1} 2}} \cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}}$ where

$$w' = u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle\overline{s_1}D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n} = u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D_{l_1}^{i_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k}$$

and consider two possibilities:

$$\overline{s_1}D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n} = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D_{l_1}^{i_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k} = w, \quad \overline{s_2}D_{l_1}^{i_1} \cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k} = u\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle\overline{s_1}D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n} = w.$$

In the first case, we have the composition of right including $(s_1, s_2)_w = D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n}s_1 -$

$[u\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D_{l_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k}s_2]$ which is zero modulo (S,w), and the last item in (32) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& [u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2]-[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_1}}s_1]\\
= \ & [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle u\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}+i_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}+i_k}D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1}2}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}}s_2]\\
& -[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle D_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}}D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1}2}+i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}+i_n}s_1]\\
= \ & -[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle(D_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}}D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1}2}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}}(D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n}s_1)]\\
& -[u\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}}D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1}2}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}}(D_{l_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k}s_2))]\triangleq A.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}}D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1}2}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}}(D_{l_{k+1}}^{i_{k+1}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{i_n}s_1)\\
& \quad -[u\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}}D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1}2}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}}(D_{l_1}^{i_1}\cdots D_{l_k}^{i_k}s_2)]\\
\equiv \ & 0 \ \ mod(S,wD_{l_1}^{j_{l_1 1}}\cdots D_{l_k}^{j_{l_k 1}}D_{l_{k+1}}^{j_{l_{k+1}2}}\cdots D_{l_n}^{j_{l_n 2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, A is also trivial modulo (S,w_1). Similarly, we are done in the second possibility. Hence we have (w_1,l) decrease.

Finally, suppose that $v_1\neq 1$ and $v_2=1$. We have

$$w_1=u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1=u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$$

for some $s_1,s_2\in S$, s_1 is D-free, and again, the first two summands of (30) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_1[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1]+\alpha_2[u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}\\
= \ & (\alpha_1+\alpha_2)[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1]\\
& +\alpha_2([u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2]-[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1])
\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

Again, we have three cases to consider.

Case 1. $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ are mutually disjoint. In this case, write $u_2=u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a$ and $v_1=a\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$, and the last item of (33) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& [u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2]-[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1]\\
= \ & [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2]-[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}]\triangleq A+B
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A&=[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2]-[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2],\\
B&=[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2]-[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}].
\end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 3.15 and 3.17, both A and B are linear combination of normal S-words less than w_1, hence we have (w_1,l) decrease.

Case 2. $\overline{s_1}$ is subword of $\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$. Let $w=\overline{s_2}=a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b$, where $\overrightarrow{m}=\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}$, $\overrightarrow{m}'=\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}$, $b\neq 1$, $u_1=u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle a$, and $v_1=bD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$. A rewriting of the last item of (33) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& [u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2]-[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1]\\
= \ & [u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle(D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}s_2-[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle bD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}])]
\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

Since $(s_1, s_2)_w = s_2 - a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b$ is a composition of elements of S, it is trivial $mod(S, w)$. By Lemma 3.18, the right hand side of (34) is a linear combination of normal S-words whose leading monomials are less than w_1, and (w_1, l) is again decrease.

Case 3. $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ have a nonempty intersection and $\overline{s_1}$ is not a subword of $\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$. Then $u_2 = u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle b$, $\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1 = b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2}D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$ and $v_1 = aD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$, where $a, b \in T$, $a \neq 1$, and so

$$\overline{s_1}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a = b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\overline{s_2} = w,\ \ |\overline{s_1}| + |\overline{s_2}| > |w|.$$

The composition $(s_1, s_2)_w = [s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle a] - [b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle s_2]$ is trivial $mod(S, w)$. By Lemma 3.18, $[s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle aD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}] - [b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}} s_2]$ is also trivial $mod(S, wD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}})$, i.e., it is a linear combination of normal S-words which are less than $wD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}$. Therefore, we rewrite the last item of (33) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [u_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}} s_2] - [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle v_1] \\
= \ & [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}} s_2] - [u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle aD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}] \\
= \ & -[u_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle([s_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(1)}\rangle aD^{\overrightarrow{j_2}}] - [b\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{j_2}} s_2])].
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression is a linear combination of normal S-words that less than w_1. Again, we have (w_1, l) decrease, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Definition 3.20 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$. A normal word $[u]$ is said to be S-irreducible if u is not the form $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b$, $s \in S$, s D-free, $a, b \in T$, nor of the form $a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$, $s \in S$, $a \in T$.*

Theorem 3.21 *(Composition-Diamond lemma) Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n)$ with fixed $\overrightarrow{N}$. Then the normal S-irreducible words form a linear basis of the n-conformal algebra $C(B, \overrightarrow{N}, D_1, \cdots, D_n|S)$ with defining relations S.*

If S is D-free, then the converse is true as well.

Proof. Let us define the algorithm of elimination of leading words (ELW) of S in normal words. Let $[u]$ be a normal word, and let

$$u = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b,\ \ s \ \text{ is } \ D - free \ \ \text{or} \ \ u = a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s}D^{\overrightarrow{i}}$$

where $s \in S$, $a, b \in T$ with a being D-free. The transformations

$$[u] \mapsto [u] - [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b] \ \ \text{and} \ \ [u] \mapsto [u] - [a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s]$$

will be called the results of ELW of s in $[u]$. From Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\overline{[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle b]} = u \ \ \text{and} \ \ \overline{[a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}} s]} = u.$$

Hence, any normal word is a linear combination of S-irreducible normal words modulo S. It follows from Theorem 3.19 that S-irreducible normal words are linear independent. This completes the proof of the first part of the Composition-Diamond lemma.

To prove the second part, we assume that S is D-free, and that the S-irreducible normal words form a linear basis of $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n|S)$. Suppose that h is nontrivial composition of elements of S. So, $h=(f,g)_w$ or $h=a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle f$ for some $f,g\in S$. We can apply to h the process of ELW's of S, and in finite number of steps, we will have the following presentation of h in $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$:

$$h=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i[u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i]+h_1 \tag{35}$$

where h_1 is a linear combination of S-irreducible normal D-free words, $[u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle s_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i]$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant k$, are D-free normal S-words. Moreover, we have

$$u_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(i)}\rangle\overline{s_i}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'^{(i)}\rangle v_i\leqslant\overline{h}\ \text{ for all }\ i.$$

Since h is nontrivial mod S, $h_1\neq 0$ in (35). On the other hand, $h_1\in Id(D^{\omega}(S))$, and by Theorem 3.19, h_1 must contain a subword $\overline{s}$ for some (D-free) $s\in S$, which contradicts the fact that h_1 is S-irreducible. This completes the proof of the Composition-Diamond lemma. □

Remark. The condition of triviality of compositions modulo S is much weaker and much easier to apply than checking that $(f,g)_w$ $(a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle f,\ g\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a)$ goes to zero using the ELW's of S. Yet, the result for D-free set S will be the same: If any composition of elements of S is trivial in the sense of the previous definition, then it is trivial in the sense of ELW's of S. However if the relations are not D-free, the result is not the same.

Remark. Let $S\subseteq C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. We call S an irreducible Gröbner-Shirshov basis if for any $s\in S$, s is a linear combination of $(S\backslash\{s\})$-irreducible normal words. This is the same as to say that for any normal word $[w]$ of S,

$$\begin{aligned}&w\neq u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_i}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle v,\ s_i\ \text{ is }\ D\text{-free},\\ &w\neq u\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle\overline{s_i}D^{\overrightarrow{j}}\quad\text{for any }{\scriptstyle s_i\in S\backslash\{s\}}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Composition-Diamond lemma that

Theorem 3.22 *Any n-conformal ideal of $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ generated by a D-free set has a unique irreducible Gröbner-Shirshov basis.*

Also the algorithm for applying defining relations, i.e., the ELW's algorithm, gives rise the following

Theorem 3.23 *The word problem for any n-conformal algebra with finite or recursive Gröbner-Shirshov basis is algorithmically solvable.*

4 Application

4.1 Universal enveloping n-conformal algebras

Let L be a Lie n-conformal algebra. By this we mean that L is a linear space over k equipped with multiplications $\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor,\overrightarrow{m}\in Z_+^n$, and linear maps $D_i, 1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ such that $(L,\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor,\overrightarrow{m}\in Z_+^n,D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ is a n-conformal algebra with two additional axioms:

- (Anti-commutativity) $a\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor b=\{b\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor a\}$, where

$$\{b\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor a\}=\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}}D^{(\overrightarrow{s})}(b\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rfloor a),\ D^{(\overrightarrow{s})}=D^{\overrightarrow{s}}/\overrightarrow{s}!,\ \overrightarrow{s}!=s_1!\cdots s_n!.$$

- (Jacobi identity)

$$(a\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor b)\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}'\rfloor c=\sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}(a\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rfloor(b\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}'+\overrightarrow{s}\rfloor c)-b\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rfloor(a\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}'-\overrightarrow{s}\rfloor c)).$$

Any associative n-conformal algebra $A=(A,\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle,\overrightarrow{m}\in Z_+^n,D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ can be made into a Lie n-conformal algebra $A^{(-)}=(A,\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor,\overrightarrow{m}\in Z_+^n,D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ by defining new multiplications using n-conformal commutators:

$$a\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor b=a\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle b-\{b\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a\},\ \overrightarrow{m}\in Z_+^n,\ a,b\in A.$$

The locality function for $A^{(-)}$ is essentially the same as for A. Namely, it is given by

$$\overrightarrow{N}_{A^{(-)}}(a,b)=max\{\overrightarrow{N}_A(a,b),\overrightarrow{N}_A(b,a)\}.$$

Let L be a Lie n-conformal algebra which is a free $k[D_1,\cdots,D_n]$-module with a basis $B=\{a_i|i\in I\}$ and a bounded locality $\overrightarrow{N}(a_i,a_j)\preceq\overrightarrow{N}$ for all $i,j\in I$. Let the multiplication table of L in the basis B be

$$a_i\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor a_j=\Sigma\alpha_{ij}^k a_k,\ \alpha_{ij}^k\in k[D_1,\cdots,D_n],i\geqslant j,\ i,j\in I,\ \overrightarrow{m}\prec\overrightarrow{N}.$$

Then by $\mathcal{U}_{\overrightarrow{N}}(L)$, a universal enveloping n-conformal algebra of L with respective to B and $\overrightarrow{N}$, one means the following associative n-conformal algebra:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\overrightarrow{N}}(L)=C(B,\overrightarrow{N}|\ a_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a_j-\{a_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a_i\}-a_i\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor a_j=0,\ i\geqslant j,\ i,j\in I,\ \overrightarrow{m}\prec\overrightarrow{N}).$$

Theorem 4.1 *(1/2-PBW Theorem for Lie n-conformal algebras) Let*

$$L=(\{a_i|i\in I\},\overrightarrow{N}|\ a_i\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor a_j=\Sigma\alpha_{ij}^k a_k,\ i\geqslant j,\ i,j\in I,\ \overrightarrow{m}\prec\overrightarrow{N})$$

be a Lie n-conformal algebra with the basis $\{a_i|i\in I\}$ *over* $k[D_1,\cdots,D_n]$ *and locality* $\overrightarrow{N}$. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_{\overrightarrow{N}}(L)\ &=\ C(\{a_i|i\in I\},\overrightarrow{N}|\ s_{ij}^{\overrightarrow{m}}=a_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a_j-\{a_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a_i\}-a_i\lfloor\overrightarrow{m}\rfloor a_j=0,\\ &\qquad i\geqslant j,\ i,j\in I,\ \overrightarrow{m}\prec\overrightarrow{N})\end{aligned}$$

be a universal enveloping n-conformal algebra of L. Let $S=\{s_{ij}^{\overrightarrow{m}}|i,j\in I,\ \overrightarrow{0}\preceq\overrightarrow{m}\prec\overrightarrow{N}\}$. *Then any polynomial*

$$s_{ij}^{\overrightarrow{m}}\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle a_k-a_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle s_{jk}^{\overrightarrow{m}'}$$

where $i>j>k,\overrightarrow{m}\prec\overrightarrow{N},\overrightarrow{m}'\prec\overrightarrow{N}$, *is trivial* $mod(S,w)$ *for* $w=a_i\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a_j\langle\overrightarrow{m}'\rangle a_k$.

4.2 An example about the Gröbner-Shirshov basis of an associative n-conformal algebra

In what follows, a word $a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle a_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\cdots a_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}}a_{k+1}$ will stand for the right normed word $[a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(1)}\rangle a_2\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(2)}\rangle\cdots a_k\langle\overrightarrow{m}^{(k)}\rangle D^{\overrightarrow{i}}a_{k+1}]$, i.e., we will omit the right normed brackets.

Consider the associative n-conformal algebra

$$G = C(a,(2,2),D_1,D_2|a\langle 0,0\rangle a = a).$$

Let $f = a\langle 0,0\rangle a - a$. By the Gröbner-Shirshov basis of G, we understand the Gröbner-Shirshov basis of $I = Id(D^{\omega}(f) \subseteq C(a,(2,2),D_1,D_2))$.

Theorem 4.2 *The Gröbner-Shirshov basis of G is as follows:*

$$f = a\langle 0,0\rangle a - a, \quad s = a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a,$$

$$g = a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a, \quad p = a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a,$$
$$h = a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a, \quad q = a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a.$$

The following lemma will be used in proving the above theorem.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $C(B,\overrightarrow{N},D_1,\cdots,D_n)$ be a free associative n-conformal algebra with locality $\overrightarrow{N}(b,c) = \overrightarrow{N}$ for all $b,c \in B$. Let u be a D-free word and $a \in B$.*

(i) If $\overrightarrow{N} \not> \overrightarrow{m}$, then $(u)\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a = 0$. (Here (u) is any bracketing of u.)

(ii) $[u]\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a = [u\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a]$.

Proof. (i) We will carry out the proof by induction on the length of u. If $|u| = 1$, then $u \in B$, and the result is true. Assume $|u| > 1$. Hence $(u) = (v)\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle(w)$ for some words v and w with $|u| > v$ and $|u| > w$. Thus, by induction,

$$(u)\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a = (v)\langle\overrightarrow{k}\rangle(w)\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle a = \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{k}}{\overrightarrow{s}}(v)\langle\overrightarrow{k}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle((w)\langle\overrightarrow{m}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle a) = 0.$$

(ii) Again, we prove by induction on the length of u. The result holds trivially for $|u| = 1$. Assume that $|u| > 1$. Then $[u] = a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v]$ where $a_1 \in B, \overrightarrow{m} \in Z_+^n$, $|v| < |u|$ and v is D-free. By (i), $a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle[v]\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{1}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle a = 0$ for all $\overrightarrow{s} \in Z_+^n\backslash 0$, and so by induction we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[u]\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a = (a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v])\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a\\
= \;& a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v]\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a + \sum_{\overrightarrow{s}\in Z_+^n\backslash 0}(-1)^{\overrightarrow{s}}\binom{\overrightarrow{m}}{\overrightarrow{s}}a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}-\overrightarrow{s}\rangle([v]\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}+\overrightarrow{s}\rangle a\\
= \;& a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle[v\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a] = a_1\langle\overrightarrow{m}\rangle v\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a = [u\langle\overrightarrow{N}-\overrightarrow{1}\rangle a].
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of the Theorem 4.2. We shall check all possible compositions and prove that all of them are trivial. Explicitly, the proof will be carried out in the following order:

1) Show that $g, h \in I$. Consequently, $a\langle 2,0\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(g)$ and $a\langle 0,2\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(h)$.

2) Show that $p, q \in I$. Consequently, $a\langle 2,1\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(p)$ and $a\langle 1,2\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(q)$.

3) Show that $s \in I$. Consequently, $a\langle 2,2\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(s)$.

4) Show that $a\langle m_1, m_2\rangle f = 0$ for $m_1 \geqslant 3$ or $m_2 \geqslant 3$.

5) Show that $a\langle m_1, m_2\rangle g \equiv 0 \bmod(q, s)$, $a\langle m_1, m_2\rangle h \equiv 0 \bmod(p, s)$, $a\langle m_1, m_2\rangle p \equiv 0 \bmod(s)$, $a\langle m_1, m_2\rangle q \equiv 0 \bmod(s)$, $a\langle m_1, m_2\rangle s = 0$ for $(m_1, m_2) \not\prec (2,2)$.

6) Show that $(f,f)_w = 0$, $(g,f)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(f, g; w)$, $(h,f)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(f, h; w)$, $(p,f)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(f, p; w)$, $(q,f)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(f, q; w)$, $(s,f)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(f, s; w)$.

7) Show that $(f,g)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(g; w)$, $(g,g)_w = 0$, $(h,g)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(q; w)$, $(p,g)_w = 0$, $(q,g)_w = 0$, $(s,g)_w = 0$.

8) Show that $(f,h)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(h; w)$, $(g,h)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(q; w)$, $(h,h)_w = 0$, $(p,h)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(q; w)$, $(q,h)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(q; w)$, $(s,h)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(q; w)$.

9) Show that $(f,p)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(p; w)$, $(g,p)_w = 0$, $(h,p)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(s; w)$, $(p,p)_w = 0$, $(q,p)_w = 0$, $(s,p)_w = 0$.

10) Show that $(f,q)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(q; w)$, $(g,q)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(s; w)$, $(h,q)_w = 0$, $(p,q)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(s; w)$, $(q,q)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(s; w)$, $(s,q)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(s; w)$.

11) Show that $(f,s)_w \equiv 0 \bmod(s; w)$, $(g,s)_w = 0$, $(h,s)_w = 0$, $(p,s)_w = 0$, $(q,s)_w = 0$, $(s,s)_w = 0$.

Now we check 1)-11) step by step.

1) $g, h \in I$. To see this, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} a\langle 2,0\rangle f &= a\langle 2,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a - a\langle 2,0\rangle a \\ &= (a\langle 2,0\rangle a)\langle 0,0\rangle a + 2a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,0\rangle a \\ &= 2a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a\langle 2,0\rangle f = 2g$, and so $g_0 \in I$. Moreover, this shows that $a\langle 2,0\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(g)$.

Analogously, one can show that $h \in I$, $a\langle 0,2\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(h)$.

2) $p \in I$. To see this, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} a\langle 2,1\rangle f &= a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a - a\langle 2,1\rangle a \\ &= (a\langle 2,1\rangle a)\langle 0,0\rangle a + 2a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 2,0\rangle a \\ &\quad + a\langle 2,0\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - 2a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a + a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,1\rangle a \\ &= 2a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a + a\langle 2,0\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - 2a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to check that $a\langle 2,0\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a = 2a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a$. Hence $a\langle 2,1\rangle f = 2a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a = 2p$, and so $p \in I$. Moreover, this shows that $a\langle 2,1\rangle f$ is trivial modulo p.

Analogously, one can show that $q \in I$, $a\langle 1,2\rangle f \equiv 0 \bmod(q)$.

3) $s \in I$. To see this, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
a\langle 2,2\rangle f &= a\langle 2,2\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a - a\langle 2,2\rangle a \\
&= (a\langle 2,2\rangle a)\langle 0,0\rangle a + 2a\langle 1,2\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,2\rangle a\langle 2,0\rangle a \\
&\quad +2a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - 4a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a + 2a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 2,1\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,2\rangle a \\
&= 2a\langle 1,2\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a + 2a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - 4a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to check that

$$a\langle 1,2\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a = 2a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a, \quad a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a2a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a.$$

Hence $a\langle 2,2\rangle f = 4a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a = 4s$, and so $s \in I$. Moreover, this shows that $a\langle 2,2\rangle f$ is trivial modulo s.

4) $a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle f = 0$ for $m_1 \geqslant 3$ or $m_2 \geqslant 3$. The proof will follow from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4 *$a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle a\langle n_1,n_2\rangle a = 0$ for all $m_1+n_1 \geqslant 3$ or $m_2+n_2 \geqslant 3$.*

Proof. Assume that $m_1+n_1 \geqslant 3$. For $(m_1,m_2) \prec (2,2)$, the result is clear for $n_1 \geqslant 2$. For $(m_1,m_2) \not\prec 2$, we expand $a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle a\langle n_1,n_2\rangle a$ and note that it is a linear combination of

$$v_i = a\langle k_{i1},k_{i2}\rangle a\langle l_{i1},l_{i2}\rangle a$$

where $k_{i1}+l_{i1} = m_1+n_1 \geqslant 3$, $k_{i2}+l_{i2} = m_2+n_2$, $k_{i1},k_{i2} \prec (2,2)$, $i \in I$. So $v_i = 0$ by the above proof. This shows that $a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle a\langle n_1,n_2\rangle a = 0$. Analogously, one can show the case of $m_2+n_2 \geqslant 3$ and the proof is done. $\square$

The proof of the next lemma is similar to that of Lemma 4.4

Lemma 4.5 *$a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle a\langle n_1,n_2\rangle a\langle t_1,t_2\rangle a = 0$ for all $m_1+n_1+t_1 \geqslant 4$ or $m_2+n_2+t_2 \geqslant 4$.*

5) $a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle g \equiv 0 \bmod(p,s)$ for $(m_1,m_2) \not\prec (2,2)$. First of all, we show that $a\langle 0,2\rangle g \equiv 0 \bmod(p,s)$. Expanding $a\langle 0,2\rangle g$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
a\langle 0,2\rangle g &= a\langle 0,2\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\
&= (a\langle 0,2\rangle a)\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a + 2a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,2\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\
&= 2a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - 2a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a \\
&\equiv 0 \quad mod(p,s).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$a\langle 1,2\rangle g \equiv 0 \; mod(p,s), \;\; a\langle 0,3\rangle g \equiv 0 \; mod(s), \;\; a\langle 1,3\rangle g \equiv 0 \; mod(s).$$

Now, $a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle g = 0$ for $m_1 \geqslant 2$ or $m_2 \geqslant 4$ by Lemma 4.5. Hence $a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle g \equiv 0 \bmod(p,s)$ for $(m_1,m_2) \not\prec (2,2)$.

Analogously, one can show that if $(m_1,m_2) \not\prec (2,2)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle h \equiv 0 \; mod(q,s), \quad a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle p \equiv 0 \; mod(s), \\
&a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle q \equiv 0 \; mod(s), \quad a\langle m_1,m_2\rangle s = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

6) $(f,f)_w = 0$. Take

$$w = a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(f,f)_w$, we get

$$(f,f)_w = f\langle 0,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle f = (a\langle 0,0\rangle a)\langle 0,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle a - [w] + a\langle 0,0\rangle a = 0.$$

Next, we show that $(g,f)_w \equiv 0$. Take

$$w = a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(g,f)_w$, we get

$$(g,f)_w = g\langle 0,0\rangle a - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle f = (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 0,0\rangle a - [w] + g.$$

Expanding the first monomial on the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 0,0\rangle a \\ = \ & a\langle 1,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 0,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,0\rangle a \\ = \ & [w] - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a + a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,0\rangle a. \end{aligned}$$

Now $a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,0\rangle a = 0$. Therefore,

$$(g,f)_w = -a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a + g \equiv 0 \ mod \ (f,g;w).$$

Analogously, one can show that

$$(h,f)_w \equiv 0 \ mod \ (f,h;w), \quad (p,f)_w \equiv 0 \ mod (f,p;w),$$

$$(q,f)_w \equiv 0 \ mod \ (f,q;w), \quad (s,f)_w \equiv 0 \ mod \ (f,s;w).$$

7) $(f,g)_w \equiv 0$. Take

$$w = a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(f,g)_w$, we get

$$(f,g)_w = f\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle g = (a\langle 0,0\rangle a)\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - g - [w] = -g \equiv 0 \ mod \ (g).$$

Next, we show that $(g,g)_w = 0$. There are two compositions

$$w_1 = a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a, \quad w_2 = a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a.$$

We consider w_1 at first. Expanding $(g,g)_{w_1}$, we get

$$(g,g)_{w_1} = g\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle g = (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - [w_1].$$

Expanding the first monomial on the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\ = \ & a\langle 1,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 2,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\ = \ & [w] - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\ & - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 2,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a + a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 3,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\ = \ & [w] \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.5. Therefore, $(g,g)_{w_1}=0$. Similarly, $(g,g)_{w_2}=0$.

Analogously, one can show that

$$(h,g)_w \equiv 0 \ mod\ (q;w), \quad (p,g)_w=0, \quad (q,g)_w=0, \quad (s,g)_w=0.$$

8) $(f,h)_w \equiv 0$. Take

$$w=a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(f,h)_w$, we get

$$(f,g)_w=f\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a-a\langle 0,0\rangle h=(a\langle 0,0\rangle a)\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a-h-[w]=-h\equiv 0 \ mod\ (h).$$

Next, we show that $(g,h)_w\equiv 0$. Take

$$w=a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(g,h)_w$, we get

$$(g,h)_w=g\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a-a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle h=(a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a-[w].$$

Expanding the first monomial on the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a \\
= \ & a\langle 1,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a-a\langle 0,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a \\
= \ & [w]-a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a \\
& -a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a+a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a \\
= \ & [w]-a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a-a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.5. Therefore, $(g,h)_w\equiv 0 \text{ mod}(q;w)$.

Analogously, one can show that

$$(h,h)_w=0, \quad (p,h)_w\equiv 0 \ mod\ (q;w),$$

$$(q,h)_w\equiv 0 \ mod\ (q;w), \quad (s,h)_w\equiv 0 \ mod\ (q;w).$$

9) $(f,p)_w\equiv 0$. Take

$$w=a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(f,p)_w$, we get

$$(f,p)_w=f\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a-a\langle 0,0\rangle p=(a\langle 0,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a-p-[w]=-p\equiv 0 \ mod\ (p).$$

Next, we show that $(g,p)_w=0$. Take

$$w=a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(g,p)_w$, we get

$$(g,p)_w=g\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a-a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle p=(a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a-[w].$$

Expanding the first monomial on the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\
= \; & a\langle 1,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\
= \; & [w] - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a \\
& - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a + a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 3,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle \\
= \; & [w]
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.4. Therefore, $(g,p)_w = 0$.

Analogously, one can show that

$$(h,p)_w \equiv 0 \; mod \; (s;w), \quad (p,p)_w = 0, \quad (q,p)_w = 0, \quad (s,p)_w = 0.$$

10) $(f,q)_w \equiv 0$. Take

$$w = a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(f,q)_w$, we get

$$(f,q)_w = f\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle q = (a\langle 0,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - q - [w] = -q \equiv 0 \; mod \; (q).$$

Next, we show that $(g,q)_w = 0$. Take

$$w = a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(g,q)_w$, we get

$$(g,q)_w = g\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle q = (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - [w].$$

Expanding the first monomial on the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a \\
= \; & a\langle 1,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle (a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a \\
= \; & [w] - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a \\
& - a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 2,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle a + a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 3,1\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle \\
= \; & [w] - 2a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a - 2a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.4. Therefore, $(g,q)_w \equiv 0 \text{ mod } (s;w)$.

Analogously, one can show that

$$(h,q)_w = 0, \quad (p,q)_w \equiv 0 \; mod \; (s;w),$$

$$(q,q)_w \equiv 0 \; mod \; (s;w), \quad (s,q)_w \equiv 0 \; mod \; (s;w).$$

11) $(f,s)_w \equiv 0$. Take

$$w = a\langle 0,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(f,s)_w$, we get

$$(f,s)_w = f\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a - a\langle 0,0\rangle s = (a\langle 0,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a - s - [w] = -s \equiv 0 \; mod \; (s).$$

Next, we show that $(g, s)_w = 0$. Take

$$w = a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a.$$

Expanding $(g, s)_w$, we get

$$(g, s)_w = g\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a - a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle s = (a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle a)\langle 1,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a - [w] = 0$$

by Lemma 4.3.

Analogously, one can show that

$$(h, s)_w = 0, \quad (p, s)_w = 0, \quad (q, s)_w = 0, \quad (s, s)_w = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Let $S = \{f, g, h, p, q, s\}$. Let $[u]$ be an S-irreducible normal word. Then [u] has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[u] = [a\langle 1,0\rangle a], \quad [u] = [a\langle 0,1\rangle a], \quad [u] = [a\langle 1,1\rangle a],\\
&[u] = [a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a], \quad [u] = [a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 1,1\rangle a],\\
&[u] = [(a\langle 1,0\rangle a\langle 0,1\rangle)^k a], \quad [u] = [(a\langle 0,1\rangle a\langle 1,0\rangle)^l a], \ k \geqslant 1, \ l \geqslant 1.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Composition-Diamond lemma, these words consist of a linear basis of G.

4.3 Loop Lie n-conformal algebra

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with a linear basis $\{a_i\}_{i\in I}$. Then loop Lie n-conformal algebra for $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$L(\mathfrak{g}) = (\{a_i\}_{i\in I}, \overrightarrow{N} = (1,1) |\ a_i \lfloor 0,0 \rfloor a_j = [a_i a_j], \ i > j, \ i,j \in I).$$

A universal enveloping associative n-conformal loop algebra of $L(\mathfrak{g})$ is then given by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\overrightarrow{N}}(L(\mathfrak{g})) = C(\{a_i\}_{i\in I}, \overrightarrow{N} = (1,1) |\ a_i\langle 0,0\rangle a_j - \{a_i\langle 0,0\rangle a_j\} = [a_i a_j], \ i > j, \ i,j \in I)$$

which is an associative n-conformal loop algebra.

Lemma 4.6 *The set* $S = \{a_i\langle 0,0\rangle a_j - \{a_i\langle 0,0\rangle a_j\} - [a_i a_j] |\ i > j\} \subseteq C(\{a_i\}_{i\in I}, (1,1), D_1, D_2)$ *is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.*

Proof. Any element of S has the form

$$a_i\langle 0,0\rangle a_j - a_j\langle 0,0\rangle a_i - [a_i a_j], \quad i > j, \ i,j \in I$$

and $\langle 0,0\rangle$ is an associative multiplication. As the same as for usual universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra, these elements have trivial compositions of intersection. The compositions of left multiplication are also trivial. As a result, S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. $\square$

References

[1] M. Aymon, P.-P. Grivel, Un Theoreme de Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt pour les algebres de Leibniz, *Comm. Algebra*, **31**(2003), 527-544.

[2] Y.A Bahturin, Identical Relations in Lie Algebras, VNU Science Press.x, 1987.

[3] Y.A. Bahturin, Groups, Rings, Lie and Hopf Algebras, Kluwer Academis Publishers.ix, 2003.

[4] Y.A. Bahturin, A.A. Mikhalev, V.M. Petrogradsky, M.V. Zaicev, Infinite-dimensional Lie Superalgebras, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 7. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1992.

[5] B. Bakalov, A. D'Andrea, V.G. Kac, Theory of finite pseudoalgebras, *Adv. Math.*, **162**(1)(2001), 1-140.

[6] A.A Beilinson, V.G. Drinfeld, Chiral algebras, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.*, **51**(2004).

[7] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.M. and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory, *Nuclear Phys. B* , **214**(1984), 333-380.

[8] G.M. Bergman, The Diamond Lemma for ring theory, *Adv. in Math.*, **29**(1978), 178-218.

[9] L.A. Bokut, Gröbner–Shirshov bases for braid groups in Artin–Garside generators, *J. Symbolic Compu.*, **43**(6-7)(2008), 397-405.

[10] L.A. Bokut, Gröbner–Shirshov bases for the braid groups in the Birman-Ko-Lee generators, *Journal of Algebra*, **321**(2009), 361-376.

[11] L.A. Bokut, Unsolvability of the word problem for Lie algebras, *Sov. Math., Dokl.*, **13**(1972), 1388-1391.

[12] L.A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra i Logika*, **15**(1976), 117-142.

[13] L.A. Bokut, V.V. Chainikov, K.P. Shum, Markov and Artin normal form theorem for braid groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **35**(2007), 2105-2115.

[14] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: Some new results, Proceedings of the Second International Congress in Algebra and Combinatorics, World Scientific, 2008, 35-56.

[15] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Cihua Liu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for dialgebras, arXiv.org/abs/0804.0638.

[16] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Jianjun Qiu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operators and free Rota-Baxter algebras, arXiv.org/abs/0805.0640.

[17] L.A. Bokut, E. Chibrikov, Lyndon-Shirshov words, Gröbner-Shirshov bases, and free Lie algebras, non-associative algebras and its applications, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL, 2005, 17-34.

[18] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, Composition Diamond Lemma for associative conformal algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, **272**(2004), 739-774.

[19] L. A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, P. Kolesnikov, Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in Algebra and Conformal algebras, *Fundamental and Applied Mathematics*, **6**(2000), N3, 669-706 (in Russian).

[20] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, L.-S. Shiao, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for the braid semigroup, Proceedings of the ICM satellite conference in algebra and related topics, Hong Kong, China, August 14–17, 2002. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific. 60-72 (2003).

[21] L.A. Bokut, S.-J. Kang, K.-H. Lee, P. Malcolmson, Gröbner–Shirshov bases for Lie supalgebras and their universival enveloping algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, **217**(2)(1999), 461-495.

[22] L.A. Bokut, P.S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner—Shirshov bases: From Incipient to Nowdays, *Proceedings of the POMI*, **272**(2000), 26-67.

[23] L.A. Bokut, P.S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: conformal algebras and pseudoalgebras, *Journal of Mathematicfal Sciences*, **131**(2005), No.5, 5962-6003.

[24] L.A. Bokut, L.-S. Shiao, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for coxeter groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **29**(2001), No.9, 4305-4319.

[25] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the monster, *Proc. Nat. Acda. Sci. U.S.A.*, **83**(1986), 3068-3071.

[26] B. Buchberger, An algorithm for finding a basis for the residue class ring of a zero-dimensional polynomial ideal, Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria, (1965). (in German)

[27] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, *Aequationes Math.*, **4**(1970), 374-383. (in German)

[28] K.-T. Chen, R.H. Fox, R.C. Lyndon, Free differential caculus. IV. The quotient groups of the lower central series, *Ann. Math.*, **68**(1958), 81-95.

[29] P.M. Cohn, Universal Algebra, Harper & Row, Publishers, New York-London, 1965.

[30] V. Drensky, R. Holtkamp, Planar trees, free nonassociative algebras, invariants, and elliptic integrals, *Algebra and Discrete Mathmatics*, **2**(2008), 1-41.

[31] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, Free Rota–Baxter algebras and rooted trees, *J. Algebra Applications*, **7**(2008), 167-194.

[32] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singulatities of an algebtaic variety over a field if characteristic zero, I, II, *Ann. Math.*, **79**(1964), 109-203, 205-326.

[33] V. Kac, Vertex algebras for beginners, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10., AMS, Providence, RI, (1996).

[34] S.-J. Kang, K.-H. Lee, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for irreducible sl_{n+1}-modules, *Journal of Algebra*, **232**(2000), 1-20.

[35] S.-J. Kang, K.-H. Lee, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for representation theory, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **37**(2000), 55-72.

[36] S.-J. Kang, I.-S. Lee, K.-H. Lee, H. Oh, Hecke algebras, specht modules and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *Journal of Algebra* , **252**(2002), 258-292.

[37] S.-J. Kang, D.-I. Lee, K.-H. Lee, H. Park, Linear algebraic approach to Gröbner-Shirshov basis theory, *Journal of Algebra*, **313**(2007), 988-1004.

[38] P.S. Kolesnikov, On irreducible algebras of conformal endomorphisms over a linear algebraic group, *Mathematics Subject Classification*, 1991.(arXiv.org/abs/0712-4127)

[39] P.S. Kolesnikov, On commutative conformal algebras, master's thesis, Novosibirsk State University, 2000.

[40] P.S. Kolesnikov, A base for a free associative commutative conformal algebra, *The 4th Siberian Congress in Applied and Industrial Mathematics*, Abstracts, Part IV, 2000.

[41] P.S. Kolesnikov, Universal representations of some Lie conformal supalgebras, vestnik, *Quart. J. of Novosibirsk State Univ., Series: math., mech. and informatics*, **2**(3)(2002), 30-45.

[42] P.S. Kolesnikov, Associative enevloping pseudoalgebras of finite Lie pseudoalgebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **31**(2003), 2909-2925.

[43] P.S. Kolesnikov, Associative algebras related to conformal algebras, *Appl. Categ. Struct.*, **16**(2008), No. 1-2, 167-181. MSC2000.

[44] P.S. Kolesnikov, Conformal representations of Leibniz algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, **49**(3)(2008), 540-547; translation in *Sib. Math. J.*, **49**(3)(2008), 429-435.

[45] P.S. Kolesnikov, Variethies of dialgebras and conformal algebras, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, Springer New York, **49**(2008), 257-272.

[46] P.S. Kolesnikov, Universally defined representations of Lie conformal superalgebras, *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, **43**(2008), 406-421.

[47] A.G. Kurosh, Free sums of multiple operator algebras, *Siberian. Math. J.*, **1**(1960), 62-70.

[48] R.C. Lyndon, On Burnside Problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **77**(1954), 202-215.

[49] A.A. Mikhalev, Shirshov's composition techniques in Lie superalgebras (Non-Commutative Gröbner Bases), *Trudy Sem. Petrovsk*, **18**(1995), 277-289 (in Russian). English translation: *J. Math. Sci.*, **80**(1996), 2153-2160.

[50] C. Reutenauer, Free Lie algebras, Oxford Science Publications, 1993.

[51] M. Roitman, On free conformal and vertex algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, **217**(2)(1999), 496-527.

[52] M. Roitman, Universal enveloping conformal algebras, *Selecta Math. (N.S)*, **6**(3)(2000), 319-345.

[53] M. Roitman, A criterion for embedding of Lie conformal algebras into associative conformal algebras, *Journal of Lie theory*, **15**(2)(2005), 575-588.

[54] A.I. Shirshov, Subalgebras of free Lie algebras, *Mat. Sbornik N. S.*, **33**(2)(75)(1953), 441-452.

[55] A.I. Shirshov, On the representation of Lie rings in associative rings, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **8**(1953), 173–175.

[56] A.I. Shirshov, Subalgebras of free commutative and free anti-commutative algebras, *Mat. Sbornik.*, **34**(76)(1954), 81-88.

[57] A.I. Shirshov, On free Lie rings, *Mat. Sb.*, **45**(87)(1958), 113-122. (in Russian)

[58] A.I. Shirshov, On the bases of a free Lie algebras, *Algebra Logika*, **1**(1)(1962), 14-19. (in Russian)

[59] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for ε-algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, **3**(1962), 132-137. (in Russian)

[60] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, **3**(1962), 292-296 (in Russian). English translation: *SIGSAM Bull.*, **33**(2)(1999), 3-6.

[61] V.A. Ufnarovskij, Combinatorial and asymptotic methods in algebra. VI. 1 196. (Encyclopacdia Math. Sci., 57). Springer, Berlin, 1995.

[62] G. Viennot, Algèbres de Lie Libres et Monoïdes Libres, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 691, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[63] A.I. Zhukov, Complete systems of defining relations in non-associative algebras, *Mat. Sbornik*, **69**(27)(1950), 267-280.